arXiv:1104.3112v2 [math.RT] 5 Jul 2011

FROM CONJUGACY CLASSES IN THE WEYL GROUP TO UNIPOTENT CLASSES, III

G. Lusztig

Introduction

0.1. Let G be an affine algebraic group over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \ge 0$ such that the identity component G^0 of G is reductive. Let $\mathbf{W}$ be the Weyl group of G^0. In [L6] we have defined (assuming that $G = G^0$) a natural (surjective) map Φ from the set $\underline{\mathbf{W}}$ of conjugacy classes in $\mathbf{W}$ to the set $\underline{G}$ of unipotent conjugacy classes in G. In this paper we extend the definition of Φ to the case where G is not necessarily connected, by replacing $\underline{G}$ by the set of unipotent G^0-conjugacy classes in a fixed connected component D of G whose image in G/G^0 is unipotent and $\underline{\mathbf{W}}$ by the set of "twisted conjugacy classes" (in a suitable sense depending on D) of $\mathbf{W}$. The general case can be reduced to the already known case when $G = G^0$ and to four other cases: the case where G has two components ($G^0 = PGL_n$ and D) and conjugation by some element in D takes a matrix to its transpose inverse with $p = 2$ (see §4,§5); the case where G is an even full orthogonal group and $D \ne G^0$ with $p = 2$ (see §3); and two exceptional cases related to $E_6, p = 2$ and $D_4, p = 3$ (which can be treated using in part computer calculations, see §2). As a biproduct of our analysis in §4 we obtain a new description of certain varieties from [DL] corresponding to a unitary group over a finite field (see §6). On the other hand in 5.11 we show that a map similar to Φ can be defined in a case (arising from an outer automorphism of GL_n) where D does not contain unipotent elements.

I thank Gongqin Li for her help with programming in GAP3.

0.2. Notation. For any set of integers M we set $M_{ev} = \{x \in M; x \text{ even}\}$, $M_{odd} = \{x \in M; x \text{ odd}\}$. For any collection of vectors $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t$ in a vector space we denote by $S(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t)$ the subspace spanned by $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t$. For any group Γ let $\mathcal{Z}_\Gamma$ be the centre of Γ; if $g \in \Gamma$ and Γ' is a subset of Γ let $Z_{\Gamma'}(g) = \{g' \in \Gamma'; gg' = g'g\}$.

0.3. *Errata to* [L6]. In 4.4 replace

"We can find integers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t$ (all ≥ 1) such that ..." by:

Supported in part by the National Science Foundation

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

”We can find integers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t$ (all ≥ 1) such that $b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_t = \sigma$,”

In 4.4 replace the equation $Y = (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_t)(b_1^2 - b_1)/2 + \dots$ by the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y = (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_t)(b_1^2 - b_1)/2 \\
&+ (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{t-1})((b_1 + b_2)^2 - b_1 - b_2) - (b_1^2 - b_1))/2 + \dots + \\
&a_1((b_+ \dots + b_t)^2 - b_1 - \dots - b_t) - ((b_1 + \dots + b_{t-1})^2 - b_1 - \dots - b_{t-1}))/2.
\end{aligned}$$

Replace 1.6(a) by

$$\begin{aligned}
&1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto \dots \mapsto p_1 \mapsto \mathbf{n} \mapsto \mathbf{n} - 1 \mapsto \dots \mapsto \mathbf{n} - p_1 + 1 \mapsto 1, \\
&p_1 + 1 \mapsto p_1 + 2 \mapsto \dots \mapsto p_1 + p_2 \mapsto \mathbf{n} - p_1 \mapsto \mathbf{n} - p_1 - 1 \mapsto \dots \\
&\mapsto \mathbf{n} - p_1 - p_2 + 1 \mapsto p_1 + 1, \\
&\dots \\
&p_{<\sigma} + 1 \mapsto p_{<\sigma} + 2 \mapsto \dots \mapsto p_{<\sigma} + p_\sigma \mapsto \mathbf{n} - p_{<\sigma} \\
&\mapsto \mathbf{n} - p_{<\sigma} - 1 \mapsto \dots \mapsto \mathbf{n} - p_{<\sigma} - p_\sigma + 1 \mapsto p_{<\sigma} + 1, \\
&\text{and, if } \kappa = 1, \\
&p_{n+1} \mapsto p_{n+1}. \qquad \text{(a)}
\end{aligned}$$

Contents

1. The main results; statements and preliminary reductions.
2. The exceptional cases.
3. Even full orthogonal groups.
4. Bilinear forms.
5. Almost unipotent bilinear forms.
6. Finite unitary groups.
7. Final remarks.

1. The main results; statements and preliminary reductions

1.1. Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. In this paper we fix a group G with a given normal subgroup G^0 and a given left/right G^0-coset denoted by D; we assume that G^0 is a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbf{k}$ and that for any $g \in D$ the map $x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of algebraic groups $G^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} G^0$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^D$ be the set of all $z \in \mathcal{Z}_{G^0}$ such that $zg = gz$ for some/any $g \in D$; this is a closed subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the variety of Borel subgroups of G^0. Let $\mathbf{W}$ be the Weyl group of G^0. We view $\mathbf{W}$ as a set indexing the set of orbits of G^0 acting on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ by $g : (B, B') \mapsto (gBg^{-1}, gB'g^{-1})$. For $w \in \mathbf{W}$ we write $\mathcal{O}_w$ for the corresponding G^0-orbit. The group structure on $\mathbf{W}$ is defined as in [L6, 0.2]. Define $\underline{l} : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{N}$ by $\underline{l}(w) = \dim \mathcal{O}_w - \dim \mathcal{B}$ (length function). Let

$S=\{s\in\mathbf{W};\underline{l}(s)=1\}$. For any $J\subset S$ let $\mathbf{W}_J$ be the subgroup of $\mathbf{W}$ generated by J. Now D defines a group automorphisms $\epsilon_D:\mathbf{W}\to\mathbf{W}$ (preserving length) by the requirement that

$$(B,B')\in\mathcal{O}_w, g\in D \implies (gBg^{-1},gB'g^{-1})\in\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon_D(w)}.$$

Let $\underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$ be the set of ϵ_D-conjugacy classes in $\mathbf{W}$ that is, the set of equivalence classes in $\mathbf{W}$ for the orbits of the $\mathbf{W}$-action $w_1: w\mapsto w_1^{-1}w\epsilon_D(w_1)$ on $\mathbf{W}$. An element $w\in\mathbf{W}$ (or its ϵ_D-conjugacy class C) is said to be ϵ_D-elliptic if for any $J\subset S$ such that $\epsilon_D(J)=J$, $J\ne S$, we have $C\cap\mathbf{W}_J=\emptyset$. Let $\underline{\mathbf{W}}_D^{el}$ be the set of elliptic ϵ_D-conjugacy classes in $\mathbf{W}$. Let $\mathbf{W}_{D-min}$ be the set of all $w\in\mathbf{W}$ such that $\underline{l}(w)\le\underline{l}(w')$ for any w' in the ϵ_D-conjugacy class of w. For any ϵ_D-conjugacy class C in $\mathbf{W}$ we set $C_{min}=C\cap\mathbf{W}_{D-min}$.

In the remainder of this section we assume that

(a) G is an affine algebraic group over $\mathbf{k}$ and that G^0 is its identity component (so that D is a connected component of G)
and that

(b) the image of D in G/G^0 is unipotent (that is, its order is a power of p if $p>1$ and 1 if $p=0$).
Let $\underline{D}$ be the set of G^0-conjugacy classes in D which are unipotent. We say that $\gamma\in\underline{D}$ is distinguished if for some/any $g\in\gamma$, any torus in $Z_G(g)$ is contained in $\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^D$. Let $\underline{D}_{dis}=\{g\in\underline{D};\gamma \text{ distinguished}\}$. For $w\in\mathbf{W},\gamma\in\underline{D}$ we write $w\dashv_D\gamma$ if for some/any $g\in\gamma$ we have $\{B\in\mathcal{B};(B,gBg^{-1})\in\mathcal{O}_w\}\ne\emptyset$. For $w\in\mathbf{W}$ we set $\Sigma_{w,D}=\{\gamma\in\underline{D};w\dashv_D\gamma\}$; we regard $\Sigma_{w,D}$ as a partially ordered set where $\gamma\le\gamma'$ if $\gamma\subset\bar\gamma'$ (closure of γ' in D).

1.2. We shall need the following result.

(a) *Assume that w,w' are elements of $\mathbf{W}_{D-min}$ which are ϵ_D-conjugate. Then $\Sigma_{w,D}=\Sigma_{w',D}$.*
To prove this we can assume that $\mathbf{k}$ is an algebraic closure of a finite field F_q with q elements and that G has a fixed F_q-rational structure such that G^0 is F_q-split, D is defined over F_q and each unipotent G^0-orbit in D is defined over F_q. Let $\gamma\in\underline{D}$. Let $g\in\gamma(F_q)$. Let N_q (resp. N'_q) be the number of F_q-rational points of the F_q-variety $\{B\in\mathcal{B};(B,gBg^{-1})\in\mathcal{O}_w\}$ (resp. $\{B\in\mathcal{B};(B,gBg^{-1})\in\mathcal{O}_{w'}\}$). Note that $\gamma\in\Sigma_{w,D}$ if and only if $N_{q^s}\ne0$ for some $s\ge1$; similarly, $\gamma\in\Sigma_{w',D}$ if and only if $N'_{q^s}\ne0$ for some $s\ge1$. It is then enough to show that $N_{q^s}=N'_{q^s}$ for all $s\ge1$. Replacing q^s by q we can also assume that $s=1$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of functions $\mathcal{B}(F_q)\to\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ (l is a fixed prime number $\ne p$). For any $x\in G(F_q)$ we define $S_x:\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{F}$ by $f\mapsto f'$ where $f'(B)=f(x^{-1}Bx)$. For any $y\in\mathbf{W}$ we denote by $T_y:\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{F}$ the linear map $f\mapsto f'$ where

$$f'(B)=\sum_{B'\in\mathcal{B}(F_q);(B,B')\in\mathcal{O}_y}f(B').$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_q$ be the subspace of $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F})$ spanned by $T_y (y \in \mathbf{W})$; this is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F})$. From the definitions we have $N_q = \mathrm{tr}(T_w S_g : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F})$, $N'_q = \mathrm{tr}(T_{w'} S_g : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F})$. Thus it is enough to show that $\mathrm{tr}((T_w - T_{w'})S_g : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}) = 0$. We define a linear map $\mu : \mathcal{H}_q \to \mathcal{H}_q$ by $\mu(T_y) = T_{\epsilon_D(y)}$ for all $y \in \mathbf{W}$; this is an algebra automorphism. From the definitions for any $y \in \mathbf{W}$ we have $T_{\epsilon_D(y)} S_g = S_g T_y : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ (we use that $g \in D$); hence for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_q$ we have $\mu(\xi) S_g = S_g \xi$. From our assumptions on w, w' and from [GKP, 7.2(a)] we see that $T_w - T_{w'}$ is a linear combinations of elements of the form $\xi\xi' - \xi'\mu(\xi)$ $(\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{H}_q)$. Hence it is enough to show that $\mathrm{tr}((\xi\xi' - \xi'\mu(\xi))S_g : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for any $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{H}_q$. The last trace is equal to $\mathrm{tr}(\xi\xi' S_g - \xi' S_g \xi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F})$ and this is clearly 0. This completes the proof of (a).

In view of (a), for any $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$ we can define $\Sigma_{C,D} = \Sigma_{w,D}$ where w is any element of C_{min}.

The following is one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.3. *(a) Let $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$. There exists (a necesarily unique) $\gamma \in \Sigma_{C,D}$ such that $\gamma \le \gamma'$ for all $\gamma' \in \Sigma_{C,D}$. We set $\gamma = \Phi(C)$.*

(b) If C, C' are elements of $\underline{\mathbf{W}}_D^{el}$ and $\Phi(C) = \Phi(C')$, then $C = C'$.

(c) For any $\gamma \in \underline{D}$ there exists $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$ such that $\gamma = \Phi(C)$.

(d) For any $\gamma \in \underline{D}_{dis}$ there exists $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D^{el}$ such that $\gamma = \Phi(C)$.

We now state a variant (in fact a special case) of the Theorem.

Proposition 1.4. *1.3(a) holds for any $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D^{el}$; moreover, 1.3(b) and 1.3(d) hold.*

We now show:

(a) *If the proposition holds for G, D and for any G', D' such that* $\dim(G') < \dim G$ *then Theorem 1.3 holds for G, D.*

The proof is a generalization of that in [L6, 1.1]. For any $J \subset S$ such that $\epsilon_D(J) = J$ we denote by P_J a parabolic subgroup of type J of G^0, by L_J a Levi subgroup of P_J and by U_{P_J} the unipotent radical of P_J; we identify $\mathbf{W}_J$ with the Weyl group of L_J in the standard way (using P_J). By [L4, 1.26] we have $N_G P_J = (N_G L_J \cap N_G P_J) U_{P_J}$, $(N_G L_J \cap N_G P_J) \cap U_{P_J} = \{1\}$. Here $N_G()$ denotes normalizer in G. It follows that $N_D P_J = (N_D L_J \cap N_D P_J) U_{P_J}$ where $N_D() = N_G() \cap D$. Since $\epsilon_D(J) = J$ we have $N_D P_J \ne \emptyset$ hence $D_J := N_D L_J \cap N_D P_J \ne \emptyset$. Note that D_J is a connected component of $N_G L_J$ (whose identity component is L_J) and $(\underline{\mathbf{W}}_J)_{D_J}$, $\underline{D}_J$ are defined in terms of $N_G L_J, D_J$ in the same way as $\underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$, $\underline{D}$ are defined in terms of G, D. Now let $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$. We can find $J \subset S$ as above and $C' \in (\underline{\mathbf{W}}_J)_{D_J}^{el}$ such that $C' = C \cap \mathbf{W}_J$. (For future reference we denote by $\mu(C)$ the number of ϵ_D-orbits on $S - J$; it is independent of the choice of C. Note that C is ϵ_D-elliptic if and only if $\mu(C) = 0$.) We set $P_J = P, L_J = L, U_{P_J} = U$. By our assumption, $\gamma_0 := \Phi(C')$ is a well defined unipotent L-conjugacy class in D_J. Let γ be the unipotent G^0-conjugacy class in D that contains γ_0. Let $w \in C'_{min}$. Let $\gamma' \in \underline{D}$ be such that for some $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$, $g' \in \gamma'$ we have $(B, B') \in \mathcal{O}_w$, $B' = g' B g'^{-1}$.

Replacing B, B', g' by $xBx^{-1}, xB'x^{-1}, xg'x^{-1}$ for some $x \in G^0$ we see that we can assume that $B \subset P$ and then we automatically have $B' \subset P$ that is, $g'Bg'^{-1} \subset P$. We have also $g'Bg'^{-1} \subset g'Pg'^{-1}$ and $g'Pg'^{-1}$ is of the same type as P (since $\epsilon_D(J) = J$) hence $g'Pg'^{-1} = P$ that is, $g' \in N_D P$. We have $g' = g'_1 v$ where $g'_1 \in D_J$ is unipotent and $v \in U$. We shall use the following fact which will be verified below.

(b) *For any element $h \in D_J$ and any $v' \in U$ we can find a one parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbf{k}^* \to \mathcal{Z}_L$ such that $\lambda(t)h = h\lambda(t)$ for all t and $\lambda(t)v'\lambda(t^{-1})$ converges to* 1 *when $t \in \mathbf{k}^*$ converges to* 0.

Using (b) with $h = g'_1, v' = v$ we see that $\lambda(t)g'\lambda(t)^{-1} = g'_1\lambda(t)v\lambda(t)^{-1}$ converges to g'_1 when $t \in \mathbf{k}^*$ converges to 0. Thus g'_1 is contained in the closure of γ'. Hence the L-conjugacy class of g'_1 is contained in the closure of γ'. Note also that $B' = g'_1 B g'^{-1}_1$. By definition, γ_0 is contained in the closure of the L-conjugacy class of g'_1. Hence γ_0 is contained in the closure of γ' and γ is contained in the closure of γ'. We see that $\Sigma_{w,D}$ has a unique minimal element namely γ. Since $w \in C_{min}$, we see that 1.3(a) holds for G, D (assuming (b)).

We now prove (b). Let u be a unipotent, quasi-semisimple element in D_J. We have $h = ub$ where $b \in L$ hence b commutes with any element in $\mathcal{Z}_L$. Hence it is enough to prove (b) with h replaced by u. We can find a Borel subgroup B_1 of L and a maximal torus T of B_1 such that B_1, T are normalized by u. Then $B_2 = B_1 U \in \mathcal{B}$ is also normalized by u. Let G^0_{der} be the derived group of G^0. Let Y_J (resp. Y) be the group of 1-parameter subgroups of $(\mathcal{Z}_L \cap G^0_{der})^0$ (resp. T). Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be the simple roots $Y \to \mathbf{Z}$ of G^0 (relative to B_2, T) which are not simple roots of L (relative to B_1, T). Now $\mathbf{Q} \otimes Y_J$ has a unique basis $b_1, \dots, b_r$ such that $\alpha_i(b_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for all i, j. Let $d \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $db_1, \dots, db_r$ belong to Y_J. Let $\lambda = db_1 + \dots + db_r \in Y_J$ (in additive notation). Then $t \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}(\lambda(t))$ has > 0 weights on the Lie algebra of U. It remains to show that $\lambda(t)$ commutes with u. This follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Ad}(u)$ in its natural action on Y_J permutes among themselves the elements $db_1, \dots, db_r$. This completes the proof of (b) hence that of 1.3(a).

Let $\gamma \in \underline{D}$. We can find $J \subset S$ such that $\epsilon_D(J) = J$ and $\gamma' \in \underline{D}_J$ such that γ' is distinguished relative to $N_G L_J, D_J$. Using 1.3(d) we can find $C' \in (\underline{\mathbf{W}}_J)^{el}_{D_J}$ and $\gamma' = \Phi(C')$ where Φ is defined relative to $N_G L_J, D_J$. Let C be the ϵ_D-conjugacy class of $\mathbf{W}$ that contains C'. By an earlier part of the argument, $\Phi(C)$ is well defined (relative to G, D) and is the unique G^0-conjugacy class that contains γ'; since γ has the same property we have $\Phi(C) = \gamma$. This proves 1.3(c). This completes the proof of (a).

1.5. Clearly, to prove that 1.3 holds for G, D we may replace G by the subgroup generated by D hence we may assume that

(a) *G/G^0 is cyclic with generator D.*

Until the end of 1.11 we assume that (a) holds. In the case where $D = G^0$ (so that $G = G^0$), 1.3 follows from [L6, 0.4, 0.6] when p is not a bad prime for G and from the Addendum at the end of [L6] (based on [LX] and [L8]) when p is a bad prime for G. We now consider the general case. In 1.6-1.11 we will give a number of reductions of the theorem.

1.6. Let $G' = G/\mathcal{Z}^0_{G^0}$. Let $D' = \pi(D)$ (a connected component of G'). We may identify $\mathbf{W}$ with the Weyl group of G'^0, $\epsilon_{D'} : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{W}$ with ϵ_D and $\mathbf{W}_{D-min}$ with $\mathbf{W}_{D'-min}$. We may identify $\underline{D}'$ (defined in terms of G', D') with $\underline{D}$ via $\gamma \mapsto \gamma' = \{g \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma); g \text{ unipotent}\}$, see [L5, 12.2(a)]; this also identifies $\underline{D}'_{dis}$ with $\underline{D}_{dis}$ (see [L5, 12.2(b)] and its proof). Then for $w \in \mathbf{W}_{D-min} = \mathbf{W}_{D'-min}$ we have $\Sigma_{w,D} = \Sigma_{w,D'}$ as partially ordered sets. Hence 1.3 holds for G', D' if and only if it holds for G, D.

1.7. Assume that G is such that G^0 is semisimple. We can find a reductive group $\tilde{G}$ with $\tilde{G}^0$ semisimple, simply connected and a surjective homomorphism of algebraic groups $\pi : \tilde{G} \to G$ such that $\ker \pi \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{G}^0}$. Then $\tilde{G}^0 = \pi^{-1}(G^0)$ and $\tilde{D} = \pi^{-1}(D)$ is a connected component of $\tilde{G}$. Moreover, the obvious map $\tilde{G}/\tilde{G}^0 \to G/G^0$ is a bijection carrying $\tilde{D}$ to D. We may identify $\mathbf{W}$ with the Weyl group of $\tilde{G}^0$, $\epsilon_{\tilde{D}} : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{W}$ with ϵ_D and $\mathbf{W}_{D-min}$ with $\mathbf{W}_{\tilde{D}-min}$. We may identify $\underline{\tilde{D}}$ (defined in terms of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{D}$) with $\underline{D}$ via $\gamma \mapsto \gamma' = \{g \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma); g \text{ unipotent}\}$, see [L5, 12.3(a)]. This also identifies $\underline{\tilde{D}}_{dis}$ with $\underline{D}_{dis}$ (see [L5, 12.3(b)] and its proof). Then for $w \in \mathbf{W}_{D-min} = \mathbf{W}_{\tilde{D}-min}$ we have $\Sigma_{w,D} = \Sigma_{w,\tilde{D}}$ as partially ordered sets. Hence if 1.3 holds for $\tilde{G}, \tilde{D}$ then it holds for G, D.

1.8. Next we assume that G is such that G^0 is semisimple, simply connected. We can write uniquely G^0 as a product $G^0 = G_1 \times G_2 \times \dots \times G_k$ where each G_i is a closed connected normal subgroup of G different from $\{1\}$ and minimal with these properties. For $i \in [1, k]$ let $G'_i = G/(G_1 \times \dots \times G_{i-1} \times G_{i+1} \times \dots \times G_k)$. Then G'_i is an affine algebraic group with $G'^0_i = G_i$ and the image of D in G'_i is a connected component D_i of G'_i. Also we have an obvious imbedding of algebraic groups $G \to G'_1 \times G'_2 \times \dots \times G'_k$ by which we identify G with a closed subgroup of $G'_1 \times G'_2 \times \dots \times G'_k$ with the same identity component; then D becomes $D_1 \times D_2 \times \dots \times D_k$. From the definitions we have a natural bijection

$$\underline{D}_1 \times \underline{D}_2 \times \dots \times \underline{D}_k \to \underline{D}, \quad (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k) \mapsto \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2 \times \dots \times \gamma_k$$

which restricts to a bijection

$$(\underline{D}_1)_{dis} \times (\underline{D}_2)_{dis} \times \dots \times (\underline{D}_k)_{dis} \to \underline{D}_{dis}.$$

Let $\mathbf{W}_i$ be the Weyl group of G_i. Then $(\mathbf{W}_i)_{D_i-min}$ is defined in terms of G'_i, D_i in the same way as $\mathbf{W}_{D-min}$ is defined in terms of G, D and we have canonically (compare [GP, Exercise 3.10] in the case where $D = G^0$):

$$(\mathbf{W}_1)_{D_1-min} \times (\mathbf{W}_2)_{D_2-min} \times \dots \times (\mathbf{W}_k)_{D_k-min} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{W}_{D-min}.$$

If $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k) \leftrightarrow w$ under the last bijection then from the definition we can identify $\Sigma_{w_1,D_1} \times \Sigma_{w_2,D_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{w_k,D_k}$ with $\Sigma_{w,D}$ as partially ordered sets. Hence if 1.3 holds for each of G'_i, D_i then it holds for G, D.

1.9. Next we assume that G is such that G^0 is semisimple, simply connected, $\neq \{1\}$ and that G has no closed connected normal subgroups other than G^0 and $\{1\}$. We have $G^0 = H_0 \times H_1 \times \ldots \times H_{m-1}$ where H_i are connected, simply connected, almost simple, closed subgroups of G^0. Let $c \in D$ be a unipotent quasi-semisimple element (see [L4, 1.4, 1.9]). We can assume that $H_i = c^i H_0 c^{-i}$ for $i \in [0, m-1]$ and $c^m H_0 c^{-m} = H_0$. Let G' be the subgroup of G generated by H_0 and c^m. Then G' is closed, $G'^0 = H_0$ and $D' = c^m H_0$ is a connected component of G'.

Define $D' \xleftarrow{a} G^0 \times D' \xrightarrow{b} D$ by $a(g, c^m h) = c^m h$, $b(g, c^m h) = gchg^{-1}$ (with $h \in H_0$); we have a bijection $\tau : \underline{D'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{D}$ given by $\gamma' \mapsto \gamma$ where $a^{-1}(\gamma') = b^{-1}(\gamma)$, see [L5, 12.5(a),(c)]. This restricts to a bijection $\underline{D}'_{dis} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{D}_{dis}$ (see [L5, 12.5(b)]).

Let $\mathcal{B}_i$ be the variety of Borel subgroups of H_i ($i \in [0, m-1]$). Any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ can be written uniquely in the form $B = B_0 B_1 \ldots B_{m-1}$ where $B_i \in \mathcal{B}_i$ ($i \in [0, m-1]$). Let $\mathbf{W}_i$ be the Weyl group of H_i and let $\underline{l}_i : \mathbf{W}_i \to \mathbf{N}$ be its length function. We can identify $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}_0 \times \mathbf{W}_1 \times \ldots \times \mathbf{W}_{m-1}$ in an obvious way so that $\underline{l}(w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}) = \underline{l}_0(w_0) + \cdots + \underline{l}_{m-1}(w_{m-1})$ for $w_i \in \mathbf{W}_i$. We have $\epsilon_D(\mathbf{W}_i) = \mathbf{W}_{i+1}$ for $i \in [0, m-2]$, $\epsilon_D(\mathbf{W}_{m-1}) = \mathbf{W}_0$. Let $\epsilon_{D'} : \mathbf{W}_0 \to \mathbf{W}_0$ be the automorphism defined by D'. We have $\epsilon_{D'}(v) = \epsilon_D^m(v)$ for $v \in \mathbf{W}_0$.

In this subsection we assume that 1.3 holds for G', D' and we show that it then also holds for G, D.

Let $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$. To verify that 1.3(a) holds for C we choose $v \in C_{min}$ such that $v \in (\mathbf{W}_0)_{D'-min}$ (see [GKP, 2.7]). Let C' be the $\epsilon_{D'}$-conjugacy class of v in $\mathbf{W}_0$. Let $\gamma' \in \underline{D}', \gamma \in \underline{D}$ be such that $\gamma = \tau(\gamma')$. We show that:

(a) $\gamma' \in \Sigma_{v,D'}$ *if and only if* $\gamma \in \Sigma_{v,D}$.

Let $h \in H_0$ be such that $c^m h \in \gamma'$; then $ch \in \gamma$. It is enough to show that the sets

$$Z = \{B_0 \in \mathcal{B}_0; (B_0, c^m h B_0 (c^m h)^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{v;H_0}\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z' =& \{(B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{B}_0 \times \mathcal{B}_1 \tau \mathcal{B}_{m-1}; (B_0, chB_{m-1}(ch)^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{v,H_0}, \\ & B_1 = chB_0(ch)^{-1}, \ldots, B_{m-1} = chB_{m-2}(ch)^{-1}\} \end{aligned}$$

are in bijection (here $\mathcal{O}_{v,H_0}$ is defined like $\mathcal{O}_v$ but relative to H_0 instead of G^0). Now Z' is clearly in bijection with $\{B_0 \in \mathcal{B}_0; (B_0, (ch)^m B_0 (ch)^{-m}) \in \mathcal{O}_{v,H_0}\}$. It is enough to show that for $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}_0$ we have $(ch)^m B_0 (ch)^{-m} = c^m h B_0 (c^m h)^{-1}$. We have $(ch)^m = c^m z h = c^m h z$ where

$$z = (c^{-m+1} h c^{m-1})(c^{-m+2} h c^{m-2}) \ldots (c^{-1} h c) \in H_1 H_2 \ldots H_{m-1}$$

commutes with H_0 hence $z B_0 z^{-1} = B_0$ and hence

$$(ch)^m B_0 (ch)^{-m} = c^m h z B_0 z^{-1} (c^m h)^{-1} = c^m h B_0 (c^m h)^{-1},$$

as required. This proves (a).

From (a) it follows that τ defines a bijection $\Sigma_{v,D'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma_{v,D}$. Now τ is compatible with the partial order (this follows from the proof of [L5, 12.5(a)]). Since 1.3(a) holds for G', D', C', it also holds for G, D, C. Thus 1.3(a) holds for G, D. Similarly, 1.3(b)-(d) hold for G, D. (Note that v above is ϵ_D-elliptic in $\mathbf{W}$ if and only if it is $\epsilon_{D'}$-elliptic in $\mathbf{W}_0$.)

1.10. Next we assume that G is such that G^0 is semisimple, simply connected, almost simple. Let Δ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_G$ consisting of all unipotent elements in $\mathcal{Z}_G$. Let $G' = G/\Delta$ and let $\pi : G \to G'$ be the obvious homomorphism. Now π induces an isomorphism $G^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} G'^0$ and we have $\mathcal{Z}_{G'} \subset G'^0$, see [L5, 12.6(a)]). Let $D' = \pi(D)$, a connected component of G'. Then π restricts to an isomorphism $D \xrightarrow{\sim} D'$. We may identify $\mathbf{W}$ with the Weyl group of G'^0, $\epsilon_{D'} : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{W}$ with ϵ_D and $\mathbf{W}_{D-min}$ with $\mathbf{W}_{D'-min}$. We may identify $\underline{D'}$ (defined in terms of G', D') with $\underline{D}$ via $\gamma' \mapsto \gamma = D \cap \pi^{-1}(\gamma')$; this also identifies $\underline{D'}_{dis}$ with $\underline{D}_{dis}$ (see [L5, 12.6]). Then for $w \in \mathbf{W}_{D-min} = \mathbf{W}_{D'-min}$ we have $\Sigma_{w,D} = \Sigma_{w,D'}$ as partially ordered sets. Hence if 1.3 holds for G', D', then it holds for G, D.

1.11. We now assume that G is such that G^0 is semisimple, almost simple and $\mathcal{Z}_G \subset G^0$. Let $G' = G/\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}$ and let $\pi : G \to G'$ be the obvious homomorphism. Let $D' = \pi(D)$, a connected component of G'. We may identify $\mathbf{W}$ with the Weyl group of G'^0, $\epsilon_{D'} : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{W}$ with ϵ_D and $\mathbf{W}_{D-min}$ with $\mathbf{W}_{D'-min}$. We may identify $\underline{D'}$ (defined in terms of G', D') with $\underline{D}$ via $\gamma' \mapsto \gamma = \pi^{-1}(\gamma')$; when $G = G^0$ this is obvious, when $G \neq G^0$, see [L5, 12.7(b)]. This also identifies $\underline{D'}_{dis}$ with $\underline{D}_{dis}$ (see [L5, 12.7(c)]). Then for $w \in \mathbf{W}_{D-min} = \mathbf{W}_{D'-min}$ we have $\Sigma_{w,D} = \Sigma_{w,D'}$ as partially ordered sets. Hence 1.3 holds for G', D' if and only if it holds for G, D.

1.12. We now discuss the proof of Theorem 1.3. If $G^0 = \{1\}$, the result is trivial. We can assume that $\dim(G^0) > 0$ and that 1.3 is already known for any G', D' with $\dim(G'^0) < \dim(G^0)$. From the results in 1.6-1.11 we see that we may assume that G^0 is semisimple, adjoint, almost simple, with $\mathcal{Z}_G \subset G^0$ and D generates G. Moreover, as we have seen in 1.5, we can assume that $D \neq G^0$. Then, as in [L5, 12.7], we must be in one of the following four cases:

(a) $G^0 = PGL_m(\mathbf{k})$, $m \geq 3$, $p = 2$;
(b) $G^0 = PSO_{2m}(\mathbf{k})$, $m \geq 4$, $p = 2$;
(c) $G^0 = PSO_8(\mathbf{k})$, $p = 3$;
(d) G^0 is adjoint of type E_6, $p = 2$;

moreover, $|G/G^0| = p$ and conjugation by an element of D is not an inner automorphism of G^0. For G, D as in (c) or (d), the proof of 1.3 is given in §2. If G, D are as in (b), we see from 1.11 that it is enough to prove 1.3 when G, D are replaced by

(b′) $G' = O_{2m}(\mathbf{k}), D' = G' - G'^0$, $m \geq 4, p = 2$;

the proof of 1.4 in this case is given in §3; then 1.3 holds in this case by 1.4(a). If G, D are as in (a), we see from 1.6 that it is enough to prove 1.3 when G, D are replaced by G', D' with

(a$'$) $G'^0 = GL_m(\mathbf{k})$, $|G'/G'^0| = 2$, some element of D' acts on G'^0 by conjugation as $(a_{ij}) \mapsto (a_{ji})^{-1}$, $m \geq 3, p = 2$;
the proof of 1.4 in this case is given in §5 (based on results in §4); then 1.3 holds in this case by 1.4(a).

1.13. In the remainder of this section, G, D are as in 1.1(a),(b).

Theorem 1.14. *Let $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D^{el}$, $w \in C_{min}$ and let $\gamma = \Phi(C)$, see 1.3(a). Let $g \in \gamma$. We have* $\dim(Z_{G^0}(g)/\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^D) = \underline{l}(w)$.

We first go through a sequence of reductions as in 1.6-1.11.

Assume first that we are in the setup of 1.6 and that the theorem holds for G', D'. We have $\mathcal{Z}_{G'^0} = \{1\}$ and it is enough to show that $Z_{G^0}(g)/\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^D \cong Z_{G'^0}(\pi(g))$. This follows from [L5, 12.2(b)].

Assume now that we are in the setup of 1.7 and that the theorem holds for $\tilde{G}, \tilde{D}$. Let $\tilde{g}$ be a unipotent element in $\pi^{-1}(g)$. It is enough to show that $\dim(Z_{\tilde{G}^0}(\tilde{g})) = \dim(Z_{G^0}(g)$. This follows from [L5, 12.3(b)].

Assume now that we are in the setup of 1.8 and that the theorem holds for G'_i, D_i $(i \in [1, k])$. Then clearly the theorem holds for G, D.

Assume now that we are in the setup of 1.9 and that the theorem holds for G', D'. It is enough to show that if $h \in H_0$ then $Z_{G^0}(ch) = Z_{H_0}(c^m h)$. This follows from [L5, 12.5(b)].

Assume now that we are in the setup of 1.10 and that the theorem holds for G', D'. It is enough to show that $Z_{G^0}(g) \cong Z_{G'^0}(\pi(g))$. This is shown in [L5, 12.6].

By the arguments above the proof of the theorem is reduced to the special case where G is as in 1.11; we can also assume that D generates G. If $D = G^0$ then the theorem is already known, see [L6, 4.4(b)]. Thus we can assume in addition that $D \neq G^0$. Assume now that (in the setup of 1.11), the theorem holds for G', D'. Using [L5, 12.7(c)] we deduce that the theorem holds for G, D. We see that it is enough to prove the theorem in the cases 1.12(a)-(d). If we are in the case 1.12(c) or 1.12(d) the result follows from the explicit description of the map Φ in §2 (the values of $\underline{l}(w)$ can be extracted from the character table of the appropriate Hecke algebra available through the CHEVIE package). The proof in the case 1.12(b) (or equivalently 1.12(b$'$)) is almost identical to the proof for G^0 given in [L6, 4.4(b)] and will be omitted. The case 1.12(a) (or equivalently 1.12(a$'$)) is treated in 5.10.

Theorem 1.15. *Let $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D^{el}$, $w \in C_{min}$ and let $\gamma = \Phi(C)$, see 1.3(a). The G^0-action $x : (g, B) \mapsto (xgx^{-1}, xBx^{-1})$ on $\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma := \{(g, B) \in \gamma \times \mathcal{B}; (B, gBg^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w\}$ is transitive.*

We set $\mathfrak{B}_w^D = \{(g, B) \in D \times \mathcal{B}; (B, gBg^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w\}$. We state the following result which is similar to [L6, 5.2(a)].

(a) *If $w', w'' \in C_{min}$ then there exists an isomorphism $\mathfrak{B}_{w'}^D \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{B}_{w''}^D$ commuting with the G^0-actions and commuting with the first projections $\mathfrak{B}_{w'}^D \to D$, $\mathfrak{B}_{w''}^D \to D$.*

The proof of (a) is along the same lines as that in [L6, 5.3]. Using a result of [GP, 3.2.7] and its extension to the twisted case [GKP],[H1], we see that we can assume that there exist $b, c, b' \in \mathbf{W}$ such that $w' = bc$, $w'' = cb'$, $\epsilon_D(b) = b'$, $\underline{l}(b) + \underline{l}(c) = \underline{l}(bc) = \underline{l}(cb')$. If $(g, B) \in \mathfrak{B}^D_{bc}$ then there is a unique $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(B, B') \in \mathcal{O}_b$, $(B', gBg^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_c$. We have $(gBg^{-1}, gB'g^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{b'}$ hence $(B', gB'g^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{cb'}$ so that $(g, B') \in \mathfrak{B}^D_{cb'}$. Thus we have defined a morphism $\alpha : \mathfrak{B}^D_{bc} \to \mathfrak{B}^D_{cb'}$, $(g, B) \mapsto (g, B')$. Similarly, if $(g, B') \in \mathfrak{B}^D_{cb'}$, there exists a unique $B'' \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(B', B'') \in \mathcal{O}_c$, $(B'', gB'g^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{b'}$; we have $(g, g^{-1}B''g) \in \mathfrak{B}^D_{bc}$. Thus we have defined a morphism $\alpha' : \mathfrak{B}^D_{cb'} \to \mathfrak{B}^D_{bc}$, $(g, B') \mapsto (g, g^{-1}B''g)$. From the definition it is clear that $\alpha'\alpha(g, B) = (g, B)$ for all $(g, B) \in \mathfrak{B}^D_{bc}$ and $\alpha\alpha'(g, B') = (g, B')$ for all $(g, B') \in \mathfrak{B}^D_{cb'}$. It follows that α, α' are isomorphisms. They have the required properties.

From (a) we see that:

(b) *If the theorem is true for some $w \in C_{min}$ then it is true for any $w \in C_{min}$.* Note that the following is an equivalent formulation of the theorem.

(c) *In the setup of the theorem let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and let $g, g' \in \gamma$ be such that $(B, gBg^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w$, $(B, g'Bg'^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w$. Then there exists $x \in B$ such that $xgx^{-1} = g'$.*

Before proving the theorem (or equivalently (c)) we go through a sequence of reductions as in 1.6-1.11.

Assume first that we are in the setup of 1.6 and that (c) holds for G', D'. If B, g, g' are as in (c), we have $\pi(g) \in \pi(\gamma), \pi(g') \in \pi(\gamma)$ and $\pi(B)$ is a Borel subgroup of G'. We can find $x' \in \pi(B)$ such that $x'\pi(g)x'^{-1} = \pi(g')$. We have $x' = \pi(x)$ where $x \in B$ and $xgx^{-1} = zg'$ for some $z \in \mathcal{Z}^0_{G^0}$. Using [L4, 1.3(a)] we can write $z = ytg'y^{-1}g'^{-1}$ with $t, y \in \mathcal{Z}^0_{G^0}$, $tg' = g't$. We have $zg' = ytg'y^{-1} = xgx^{-1}$, $(x^{-1}y)tg'(x^{-1}y)^{-1} = g$. In particular tg' is unipotent. Since g' is unipotent and t is semisimple and commutes with g' it follows that $t = 1$. Hence $(x^{-1}y)g'(x^{-1}y)^{-1} = g$. We see that (c) holds for G, D. On the other hand if (c) holds for G, D then it obviously holds for G', D'.

Assume now that we are in the setup of 1.7 and that (c) holds for $\tilde{G}, \tilde{D}$. Then clearly (c) holds for G, D.

Assume now that we are in the setup of 1.8 and that (c) holds for G'_i, D_i ($i \in [1, k]$). Then clearly (c) holds for G, D.

Assume now that we are in the setup of 1.9 and that the theorem holds for G', D'. We show that the theorem holds for G, D. By (b) we can assume that $w = v \in C_{min} \cap (\mathbf{W}_0)_{D'-min}$. Let $(g, B) \in \mathfrak{B}^\gamma_v$, $(g', B') \in \mathfrak{B}^\gamma_v$. It is enough to show that $(g, B), (g', B')$ are in the same G^0-orbit. Replacing $(g, B), (g', B')$ by pairs in the same G^0-orbit we can assume that $g = ch_0, g' = ch'_0$ where $h_0, h'_0 \in H_0$ and $c^m h_0, c^m h'_0$ are in the same (unipotent) H^0-conjugacy class γ' in D'. We write $B = B_0 B_1 \dots B_{m-1}$, $B' = B'_0 B'_1 \dots B'_{m-1}$ with $B_i, B'_i \in \mathcal{B}_i$. We have

$$(B_0, ch_0 B_{m-1}(ch_0)^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{v,H_0}, B_1 = ch_0 B_0 (ch_0)^{-1}, \dots, B_{m-1} = ch_0 B_{m-2}(ch_0)^{-1},$$

$$(B'_0, ch'_0 B'_{m-1}(ch'_0)^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{v,H_0}, B'_1 = ch'_0 B'_0 (ch'_0)^{-1}, \dots, B'_{m-1} = ch'_0 B'_{m-2}(ch'_0)^{-1}.$$

As in 1.9 from this we deduce that $(B_0, c^m h_0 B_0 (c^m h_0)^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{v;H_0}$ and similarly $(B_0', c^m h_0' B_0 (c^m h_0')^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{v;H_0}$. Since the theorem holds for G', D' we can find $x_0 \in H_0$ such that $B_0' = x_0 B_0 x_0^{-1}$, $c^m h_0' = x_0 c^m h_0 x_0^{-1}$. For $i \in [1, m-1]$ we set $x_i = c^i h_0' x_0 h_0^{-1} c^{-i} \in H_i$; we show that $x_i B_i x_i^{-1} = B_i'$. An equivalent statement is:

$$c^i h_0' x_0 h_0^{-1} c^{-i} (ch_0)^i B_0 (ch_0)^{-i} c^i h_0 x_0^{-1} h_0'^{-1} c^{-i} = (ch_0')^i x_0 B_0 x_0^{-1} (ch_0')^{-i}$$

It is enough to show that if λ is defined by

$$c^i h_0' x_0 h_0^{-1} c^{-i} (ch_0)^i = (ch_0')^i x_0 \lambda$$

then $\lambda \in H_1 H_2 \dots H_{m-1}$ so that $\lambda B_0 \lambda^{-1} = B_0$. We have

$$\lambda = x_0^{-1} (ch_0')^{-i} c^i h_0' x_0 h_0^{-1} c^{-i} (ch_0)^i = x_0^{-1} z' x_0 z$$

where $z = h_0^{-1} c^{-i} (ch_0)^i$ and $z' \in (ch_0')^{-i} c^i h_0'$ belong to $H_1 H_2 \dots H_{m-1}$ hence they commute with H_0. Thus $\lambda = z'z \in H_1 H_2 \dots H_{m-1}$.

Now let $x = x_0 x_1 \dots x_{m-1} \in G^0$. We have

$$xBx^{-1} = (x_0 B_0 x_0^{-1})(x_1 B_1 x_1^{-1}) \dots (x_{m-1} B_{m-1} x_{m-1}^{-1}) = B_0' B_1' \dots B_{m-1}' = B'.$$

We show that $xgx^{-1} = g'$ that is, $x_0 x_1 \dots x_{m-1} = ch_0' x_0 x_1 \dots x_{m-1} h_0^{-1} c^{-1}$. An equivalent statement is that

$$x_0 x_1 \dots x_{m-1} = (c x_{m-1} c^{-1})(c h_0' x_0 h_0 c^{-1})(c x_1 c^{-1}) \dots (c x_{m-2} c^{-1}).$$

(We use the fact that $H_0, H_1, \dots, H_{m-1}$ commute with each other.) It is enough to show that

$$x_0 = c x_{m-1} c^{-1}, x_1 = c h_0' x_0 h_0 c^{-1}, x_2 = c x_1 c^{-1}, \dots, x_{m-1} = c x_{m-2} c^{-1}.$$

These equalities, except the first, follow from the definition of $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}$; the first equality is the same as $x_0 = c^m h_0' x_0 h_0^{-1} c^{-m}$; it holds by the definition of x_0. Thus, the theorem holds for G', D'.

Assume now that we are in the setup of 1.10 and that (c) holds for G', D'. If B, g, g' are as in (c), we have $\pi(g) \in \pi(\gamma), \pi(g') \in \pi(\gamma)$ and $\pi(B)$ is a Borel subgroup of G'. We can find $x' \in \pi(B)$ such that $x' \pi(g) x'^{-1} = \pi(g')$. We have $x' = \pi(x)$ where $x \in B$ and $xgx^{-1} = zg'$ for some $z \in \Delta$ such that the connected component containing of zg' (that is zD) is equal to the connected component containing xgx^{-1} (that is D). We see that $zD = D$ hence $z \in G^0 \cap \Gamma = \{1\}$. Thus $xgx^{-1} = g'$ and (c) holds for G, D.

By the arguments above the proof of the theorem is reduced to the special case where G is as in 1.11; we can also assume that D generates G. If $D = G^0$ then the theorem is already known, see [L8, 0.2]. Thus we can assume in addition that $D \neq G^0$. Then, as in [L5, 12.7(d)], we have that for any $g' \in D$, the homomorphism $\mathcal{Z}_{G^0} \to \mathcal{Z}_{G_0}$, $y \mapsto g'^{-1}yg'y^{-1}$ is an isomorphism.

Assume now that (in the setup of 1.11), (c) holds for G', D'. If B, g, g' are as in (c), we have $\pi(g) \in \pi(\gamma), \pi(g') \in \pi(\gamma)$ and $\pi(B)$ is a Borel subgroup of G'. We can find $x' \in \pi(B)$ such that $x'\pi(g)x'^{-1} = \pi(g')$. We have $x' = \pi(x)$ where $x \in B$ and $xgx^{-1} = g'z$ for some $z \in \mathcal{Z}_{G^0}$. As noted above, we can write $z = g'^{-1}yg'y^{-1}$ with $y \in \mathcal{Z}_{G^0}$. Then $g'z = yg'y^{-1}$ and $xgx^{-1} = yg'y^{-1}$ so that $(y^{-1}x)g(y^{-1}x)^{-1} = g'$; note also that $y^{-1}x \in B$. Thus (c) holds for G, D.

We see that it is enough to prove (c) in the cases 1.12(a)-(d). In the cases 1.12(c),(d) the theorem is contained in 2.3(b), 2.4(b). The proof in the case 1.12(b) (or equivalently 1.12(b′)) is almost identical to the proof for G^0 given in [L8, §3] and will be omitted. The case 1.12(a) (or equivalently 1.12(a′)) is treated in 5.12.

Theorem 1.16. *For any $\gamma \in \underline{D}$ the function $\Phi^{-1}(\gamma) \to \mathbf{N}$, $C \mapsto \mu(C)$ (μ as in the proof of 1.4(a)) reaches its minimum at a unique element $C_0 \in \Phi^{-1}(\gamma)$. Thus we have a well defined map $\Psi : \underline{D} \to \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$, $\gamma \mapsto C_0$ such that $\Phi\Psi : \underline{D} \to \underline{D}$ is the identity map.*

By a sequence of reductions as in 1.6-1.11 we see that it is enough to prove the theorem assuming that $D = G^0$ or that we are in one of the cases 1.12(a)-(d). If $D = G^0$ the theorem follows from [L7, 0.2]. If we are in the case 1.12(c),(d), the result follows from the tables in 2.3, 2.4. If we are in the case 1.12(a) or (b), or equivalently in the case 1.12(a′) or 1.12(b′), the result follows by arguments similar to those in [L7].

2. THE EXCEPTIONAL CASES

2.1. In this section we assume that G, D are as in 1.12(a)-(d) and that

$$\mathbf{k}, F_q, \mathcal{F}, T_w : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}_q, S_x : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$$

are as in the proof of 1.2(a). Let u be a unipotent quasi-semisimple element of $D(F_q)$. Then u has order p and $\epsilon_D^p = 1 : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{W}$. Let $E^i (i \in I)$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of $\mathbf{W}$ over $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. For $i \in I$ let E^i_q be the irreducible $\mathcal{H}_q$-module corresponding to E^i (it depends on a fixed choice of $\sqrt{q}$ in $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$) and let $\rho_i = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_q}(E^i_q, \mathcal{F})$. We regard ρ_i as an (irreducible) $G^0(F_q)$-module by $x : \phi \mapsto \phi'$ $(x \in G^0(F_q))$ where $\phi'(e) = S_x\phi(e)$ for $e \in E^i_q$. We have an isomorphism

$$A : \oplus_{i \in I} \rho_i \otimes E^i_q \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F} \tag{a}$$

given by $\phi \otimes e \mapsto \phi(e)$ for $\phi \in \rho_i, e \in E^i_q$. Let I_{ex} be the set of all $i \in I$ such that there exists a linear map $v_i : E^i \to E^i$ with $v_i w = \epsilon_D(w)v_i : E^i \to E^i$ for all $w \in \mathbf{W}$, $v_i^p = 1$. Note that for $i \in I_{ex}$, v_i is only defined up to multiplication by a

p-th root of 1. However there is a canonical choice for v_i, the "preferred extension", see [L3, 17.2]; we shall assume that v_i is this canonical choice. As in [L2, p.61], v_i gives rise to a linear map $V_i : E^i_q \to E^i_q$ such that $V_i T_w = T_{\epsilon_D(w)} V_i : E^i_q \to E^i_q$ for all $w \in \mathbf{W}$ and $V_i^p = 1$.

For $i \in I_{ex}$ we define a linear map $U_i : \rho_i \to \rho_i$ by $\phi \mapsto \phi'$ where $\phi'(e) = S_u \phi(V_i^{-1}(e))$ for $e \in E^i_q$. (This is well defined since $T_{\epsilon_D(y)} S_g = S_g T_y : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ for any $y \in \mathbf{W}, g \in D$, see 1.2.) We have $U_i^c = 1$ and $U_i x = (uxu^{-1}) U_i : \rho_i \to \rho_i$ for any $x \in G^0(F_q)$. Hence we can regard ρ_i as a $G(F_q)$-module extending the $G^0(F_q)$-module considered above so that u acts as U_i; we call this the *preferred extension* of the $G^0(F_q)$-module ρ_i to a $G(F_q)$-module.

Let $g \in D(F_q), w \in \mathbf{W}$. We write $g = ux, x \in G^0(F_q)$. For any $i \in I_{ex}$ we define a linear map $H_i : \rho_i \otimes E^i_q \to \rho_i \otimes E^i_q$ by $H_i(\phi \otimes e) = (g\phi) \otimes (V_i T_w(e))$. For $\phi \in \rho_i, e \in E^i_q$ we have $S_g T_w(A(\phi \otimes e)) = A(H_i(\phi \otimes e))$. (The left hand side is $S_x S_u T_w(\phi(e)) = S_x S_u(\phi(T_w e))$; the right hand side is $(xU_i\phi)(V_i T_w e) = S_x S_u \phi(V_i^{-1} V_i T_w e) = S_x S_u(\phi(T_w e))$, as desired. Thus the endomorphism $S_g T_w$ of $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds under the isomorphism (a) to an endomorphism R of $\oplus_{i \in I} \rho_i \otimes E^i_q$ such that $R|_{\rho_i \otimes E^i_q} = H_i$ (if $i \in I_{ex}$; it is clear that R permutes the summands $\rho_i \otimes E^i_q$ with $i \in I - I_{ex}$ according to a fixed point free permutation of $I - I_{ex}$. It follows that

$$\operatorname{tr}(S_g T_w : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i \in I_{ex}} \operatorname{tr}(H_i : \rho_i \otimes E^i_q \to \rho_i \otimes E^i_q)$$

hence

(b) $$\operatorname{tr}(S_g T_w : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i \in I_{ex}} \operatorname{tr}(g, \rho_i) \operatorname{tr}(V_i T_w, E^i_q).$$

Applying (b) with $g = u$, $w = 1$ we obtain

(c) $$\operatorname{tr}(S_u : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i \in I_{ex}} \operatorname{tr}(u, \rho_i) \operatorname{tr}(V_i, E^i_q).$$

2.2. Let $F : G \to G$ be the Frobenius map corresponding to the F_q-rational structure of G. The induced map $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ is denoted again by F. Let $\mathbf{W}_0$ be the fixed point set of $\epsilon_D : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{W}$. This is a subgroup of $\mathbf{W}$, in fact a Weyl group with generators indexed by the orbits of $\epsilon_D : S \to S$.

For any $w \in \mathbf{W}$ let $X_w = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; (B, F(B)) \in \mathcal{O}_w\}$, see [DL]. If $g \in D(F_q)$ and $B \in X_w$ then $(gBg^{-1}, F(gBg^{-1})) = (gBg^{-1}, gF(B)g^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon_D(w)}$. Hence if $w \in \mathbf{W}_0$, then $G(F_q)$ acts by conjugation on X_w; hence $G(F_q)$ acts on the l-adic cohomology with compact support $H^i_c(X_w, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$; we denote by R_w the virtual representation $\sum_i (-1)^i H^i_c(X_w, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ of $G(F_q)$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the vector space of functions $D(F_q) \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ generated by the characters of irreducible representations of $G^0(F_q)$

which appear in $H^i_c(X_w,\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ for some $w\in\mathbf{W}_0, i\in\mathbf{Z}$ and are extendable to $G(F_q)$-modules. The character of R_w ($w\in\mathbf{W}_0$) restricted to $D(F_q)$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}$ and is denoted again by R_w. Also, if $i\in I_{ex}$, the character of the preferred extension ρ_i restricted to $D(F_q)$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}$ and is denoted again by ρ_i. For r,r' in $\mathcal{G}$ we set $(r,r')=|D(F_q)|^{-1}\sum_{g\in D(F_q)}r(g)r'(g^{-1})$. Let $\mathcal{G}_0$ be the vector space of all $f\in\mathcal{G}$ such that $(f,\tilde{R}_w)=0$ for all $w\in\mathbf{W}_0$.

Let $\mathfrak{e}_j (j\in J)$ be a set of representatives for the irreducible representations of $\mathbf{W}_0$. For $j\in J$ we define, following [L1] and [M1], the element

$$R_{\mathfrak{e}_j}=|\mathbf{W}_0|^{-1}\sum_{w\in\mathbf{W}_0}\operatorname{tr}(w,\mathfrak{e}_j)R_w\in\mathcal{G}.$$

2.3. In this subsection we assume that we are in the setup of 1.12(d). In this case $I=I_{ex}$. Following [M1] we index the irreducible representations of $\mathbf{W}$ as

$$\begin{gathered}1_0,1_{36},10_9,6_1,6_{25},20_{10},15_5,15_{17},15_4,15_{16},20_2,20_{20},24_6,\\ 24_{12},30_3,30_{15},60_8,80_7,90_8,60_5,60_{11},64_4,64_{13},81_6,81_{10}.\end{gathered}$$

This list is taken as the set I so that $E^{1_0},\dots,E^{81_{10}}$ in $\operatorname{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$, $E_q^{1_0},\dots,E_q^{81_{10}}$ (representations of $\mathcal{H}_q$) and $\rho_{1_0},\dots,\rho_{81_{10}}$ (representations of $G(F_q)$) are defined.

Following [M1], we index the irreducible representations of $\mathbf{W}_0$ (of type F_4) as

$$\begin{gathered}1_0,4_1,9_2,8'_3,8''_3,2'_4,2''_4,12_4,16_5,9'_6,9''_6,6'_6,6''_6,4'_7,4''_7,\\ 4_8,8'_9,8''_9,9_{10},1'_{12},1''_{12},4_{13},2'_{16},2''_{16},1_{24}.\end{gathered}$$

This list is taken as the set J so that $\mathfrak{e}_{1_0},\dots,fe_{1_{24}}$ are in $\operatorname{Irr}(\mathbf{W}_0)$; for $j\in J$ we write R_j instead of $R_{\mathfrak{e}_j}$. From [M1, Theorem 8],[M3] we see that for some function $\epsilon:I\to\{1,-1\}$ the following equalities (referred to as ♠) hold in $\mathcal{G}$.

$$\epsilon(1_0)\rho_{1_0}=R_{1_0},$$

$$\epsilon(1_{36})\rho_{1_{36}}=R_{1_{24}},$$
$$\epsilon(10_9)\rho_{10_9}=(1/3)R_{12_4}+(2/3)R_{6'_6}-(1/3)R_{6''_6}+\Xi_1,$$
$$\epsilon(6_1)\rho_{6_1}=R_{2'_4},$$
$$\epsilon(6_{25})\rho_{6_{25}}=R_{2''_{16}},$$
$$\epsilon(20_{10})\rho_{20_{10}}=(1/6)R_{12_4}-(1/2)R_{4_8}+(1/3)R_{6'_6}+(1/3)R_{6''_6}-(1/2)R_{16_5}+\Xi_2,$$
$$\epsilon(15_5)\rho_{15_5}=(1/2)R_{2''_4}+(1/2)R_{9_2}-(1/2)R_{1'_{12}}-(1/2)R_{8'_3},$$
$$\epsilon(15_{17})\rho_{15_{17}}=(1/2)R_{2'_{16}}+(1/2)R_{9_{10}}-(1/2)R_{1''_{12}}-(1/2)R_{8''_9},$$
$$\epsilon(15_4)\rho_{15_4}=(1/2)R_{2''_4}-(1/2)R_{9_2}+(1/2)R_{1'_{12}}-(1/2)R_{8'_3},$$

$$\epsilon(15_{16})\rho_{15_{16}} = (1/2)R_{2'_{16}} - (1/2)R_{9_{10}} + (1/2)R_{1''_{12}} - (1/2)R_{8''_{9}},$$

$$\epsilon(20_2)\rho_{20_2} = R_{4_1},$$

$$\epsilon(20_{20})\rho_{20_{20}} = R_{4_{13}},$$

$$\epsilon(24_6)\rho_{24_6} = R_{8''_3},$$

$$\epsilon(24_{12})\rho_{24_{12}} = R_{8'_9},$$

$$\epsilon(30_3)\rho_{30_3} = (1/2)R_{2''_4} + (1/2)R_{9_2} + (1/2)R_{1'_{12}} + (1/2)R_{8'_3},$$

$$\epsilon(30_{15})\rho_{30_{15}} = (1/2)R_{2'_{16}} + (1/2)R_{9_{10}} + (1/2)R_{1''_{12}} + (1/2)R_{8''_9},$$

$$\epsilon(60_8)\rho_{60_8} = -(1/2)R_{12_4} + (1/2)R_{4_8} - (1/2)R_{16_5} + \Xi_3,$$

$$\epsilon(80_7)\rho_{80_7} = (1/6)R_{12_4} + (1/2)R_{4_8} + (1/3)R_{6'_6} + (1/3)R_{6''_6} + (1/2)R_{16_5} + \Xi_4,$$

$$\epsilon(90_8)\rho_{90_8} = (1/3)R_{12_4} - (1/3)R_{6'_6} + (2/3)R_{6''_6} + \Xi_5,$$

$$\epsilon(60_5)\rho_{60_5} = R_{4'_7},$$

$$\epsilon(60_{11})\rho_{60_{11}} = R_{4''_7},$$

$$\epsilon(64_4)\rho_{64_4} = \Xi_6,$$

$$\epsilon(64_{13})\rho_{64_{13}} = \Xi_7,$$

$$\epsilon(81_6)\rho_{81_6} = R_{9'_6},$$

$$\epsilon(81_{10})\rho_{81_{10}} = R_{9''_6},$$

where $\Xi_1, \Xi_2, \dots, \Xi_7 \in \mathcal{G}_0$ and $\epsilon(i)$ may apriori depend on q. More precisely, in [M1], for $i \in I$, the $G^0(F_q)$-module ρ_i is extended to a $G(F_q)$-module ρ'_i not by the preferred extension (2.1) but by the requirement that $(\rho'_i, R_1) \ge 0$ (this determines ρ'_i uniquely if $(\rho'_i, R_1) > 0$; if $(\rho'_i, R_1) = 0$ for one of the extensions then the same holds for the other extension and we pick arbitrarily one of the two extensions and call it ρ'_i). Then the character of ρ'_i on $D(F_q)$ is an element of $\mathcal{G}$ denoted again by ρ'_i; we have $\rho'_i = \pm\rho_i$ for all $i \in I$. What is actually shown in [M1, Theorem 8],[M3] is that the equations ♠ hold if $\epsilon(i)\rho_i$ is replaced by $\epsilon'(i)\rho'_i$ where $\epsilon' : I \to \{1, -1\}$ is given by $\epsilon'(20_{10}) = \epsilon'(15_4) = \epsilon'(15_{16}) = \epsilon'(60_8) = -1$, $\epsilon'(i) = 1$ for all other i. It follows that $\epsilon(i)\rho_i = \epsilon'(i)\rho'_i$ for all $i \in I - \{64_4, 64_{13}\}$.

In [M2], the values $R_j(g)$ are explicitly computed (as polynomials in q) for any $j \in J$ and any unipotent element in $D(F_q)$. Since, by [M2, Proposition 7], we have $\sum_{g \in \gamma(F_q)} \Xi(g) = 0$ for any $\gamma \in \underline{D}$, $\Xi \in \mathcal{G}_0$, we see from ♠ that $\sum_{g \in \gamma(F_q)} \epsilon(i)\rho_i(g)$ is explicitly known (as a polynomial in q) for any $\gamma \in \underline{D}$ and any $i \in I$.

In particular, if $\gamma \in \underline{D}$ contains u (see 2.1) then for each $i \in I$, $|\gamma(F_q)|\epsilon(i)\rho_i(u)$ is explicitly known. Hence $\epsilon(i)\rho_i(u)$ is explicitly known as a polynomial in q. It turns out that the value of this polynomial at $q = 1$ is the integer $\mathrm{tr}(v_i, E^i)$. Using this and 2.1(c) we see that $\mathrm{tr}(S_u : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F})$ is a polynomial in q whose value at $q = 1$ is

$\sum_{i\in I}\epsilon(i)\mathrm{tr}(v_i,E^i)\mathrm{tr}(v_i,E^i)$. Now $\mathrm{tr}(S_u:\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{F})=|\{B\in\mathcal{B}(F_q);uBu^{-1}=B\}|$ is equal to the number of Borel subgroups defined over F_q of a simple algebraic group of type $F4$ defined over F_q, hence is a polynomial in q whose value at $q=1$ is $|WW_0|=1152$. Thus we have $\sum_{i\in I}\epsilon(i)\mathrm{tr}(v_i,E^i)^2=1152$. By standard orthogonality relations for characters we have also $\sum_{i\in I}\mathrm{tr}(v_i,E^i)^2=1152$. Thus $\sum_{i\in I}(1-\epsilon(i))\mathrm{tr}(v_i,E^i)^2=0$. Since $1-\epsilon(i),\mathrm{tr}(v_i,E^i)^2$ are integers ≥ 0 it follows that for any $i\in I$ such that $\mathrm{tr}(v_i,E^i)\neq 0$ we have $\epsilon(i)=1$. Note that $\mathrm{tr}(v_i,E^i)=0$ for $i\in\{64_4,64_{13}\}$ and $\mathrm{tr}(v_i,E^i)\neq 0$ for all other i. We see that $\epsilon(i)=1$ for all $i\in I-\{64_4,64_{13}\}$. For $i\in\{64_4,64_{13}\}$ the equations in ♠ hold for any choice of $\epsilon(i)$. Hence we may assume that $\epsilon(i)=1$ for all $i\in I$. We now see that

(a) *$\sum_{g\in\gamma(F_q)}\rho_i(g)$ is explicitly known (as a polynomial in q) for any $\gamma\in\underline{D}$ and any $i\in I$.*

From 2.1(b) we have for any $\gamma\in\underline{D}$ and any $w\in\mathbf{W}_{D-min}$:

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma(F_q)|&=|\{(g,B)\in\gamma(F_q)\times\mathcal{B}(F_q);(B,gBg^{-1})\in\mathcal{O}_w\}|\\&=\sum_{g\in\gamma(F_q)}\mathrm{tr}(S_gT_w:\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{F})=\sum_{i\in I}(\sum_{g\in\gamma(F_q)}\mathrm{tr}(g,\rho_i))\mathrm{tr}(V_iT_w,E_q^i).\end{aligned}$$

Here the last sum can be calculated as an explicit polynomial in q using (a) and the known values of the polynomials $\mathrm{tr}(V_iT_w,E_q^i)$ (available through the CHEVIE package). This calculation was performed using a computer. The explicit knowledge of the quantities $|\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma(F_q)|$ as polynomials in q allows us to decide when $\gamma\in\Sigma_{w,D}$ (this holds precisely when the polynomial is nonzero). We can then verify that Theorem 1.3 holds in our case. (Note that the partial order on $\underline{D}$ is known from [Sp, p.250] and the description of $\underline{D}_{dis}$ is known from [Sp, p.161].) The calculation of the sum above yields in particular:

$$|\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma(F_q)|=|G^0(F_q)|$$

whenever $C\in\underline{\mathbf{W}}^{el}$, $w\in C_{min}$ and $\gamma=\Phi(C)$. Now from [L9, 0.3(b)] we see that any G^0-orbit on $\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma$ (for the conjugation action on both factors) which is defined over F_q has a number of F_q-rational points equal to $|G^0(F_q)|$; it follows that

(b) *$\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma$ is a single G^0-orbit if $C\in\underline{\mathbf{W}}^{el}$, $w\in C_{min}$ and $\gamma=\Phi(C)$.*

We now describe explicitly the map Φ in our case. The ϵ_D-conjugacy classes in $\mathbf{W}$ are in bijection with the ordinary conjugacy classes in $\mathbf{W}$ under the map $C\mapsto Cw_0$ (w_0 is the longest element of $\mathbf{W}$); we denote an ϵ_D-conjugacy class in $\mathbf{W}$ by the same symbol (given in [Ca]) as the corresponding ordinary conjugay class in $\mathbf{W}$ (we also add an $^!$ to the name of an ϵ_D-elliptic conjugacy class). The objects of $\underline{D}$ are denoted as

$$\gamma_{52},\gamma_{36},\gamma_{30},\gamma_{28},\gamma_{24},\gamma_{22}^{20},\gamma_{22}^{14},\gamma_{18},\gamma_{16}^{14},\gamma_{16}^{12},\gamma_{14},\gamma_{12},\gamma_{10}^{8},\gamma_{10}^{9},\gamma_8,\gamma_6,\gamma_4$$

where the subscript indicates the codimension of the class (it is taken from [Sp] and [M2, Table 10]) and the upperscript denotes the dimension of the largest unipotent subgroup of the centralizer of an element in the class (it is taken from [M2, Table 10]). The map Φ is as follows:

$2A_1, 4A_1 \mapsto \gamma_{52}$
$A_0^!, A_1, 3A_1 \mapsto \gamma_{36}$
$A_3 2A_1 \mapsto \gamma_{30}$
$A_3 A_1 \mapsto \gamma_{24}$
$D_4 \mapsto \gamma_{28}$
$A_3 \mapsto \gamma_{22}^{20}$
$D_4(a_1)^!, D_5(a_1) \mapsto \gamma_{18}$
$A_5 A_1 \mapsto \gamma_{22}^{14}$
$E_6(a_2)^!, A_5 \mapsto \gamma_{16}^{14}$
$A_2^!, A_2 2A_1, A_2 A_1 \mapsto \gamma_{16}^{12}$
$2A_2^!, 2A_2 A_1 \mapsto \gamma_{14}$
$3A_2^! \mapsto \gamma_{12}$ dist
$A_4 A_1 \mapsto \gamma_{10}^{8}$
$D_5 \mapsto \gamma_{10}^{9}$
$A_4^! \mapsto \gamma_8$ dist
$E_6^! \mapsto \gamma_6$ dist
$E_6(a_1)^! \mapsto \gamma_4$ dist.

Here we have indicated the distinguished unipotent classes by "dist".

2.4. In this subsection we assume that we are in the setup of 1.12(c). Following [M1] we index the irreducible representations of $\mathbf{W}$ in terms of

$$I_{ex} = \{4, (3,1), (2,2), (2,11), (21,1), (111,1), 1111\}.$$

Thus $E^4, E^{3,1}, \dots, E^{1111}$ in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$, $E_q^4, E_q^{3,1}, \dots, E_q^{1111}$ in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$, (representations of $\mathcal{H}_q$) and $\rho_4, \rho_{3,1}, \dots, \rho_{1111}$ (representations of $G(F_q)$) are defined.

Following [M1], we index the irreducible representations of $\mathbf{W}_0$ (of type G_2) as

$$1_0, 2_1, 2_2, 1_3', 1_3'', 1_6.$$

This list is taken as the set J so that $\mathfrak{e}_{1_0}, \dots, fe_{1_6}$ are in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{W}_0)$; for $j \in J$ we write R_j instead of $R_{\mathfrak{e}_j}$. From [M1, Theorem 6] we see that the following equalities (referred to as ♠) hold in $\mathcal{G}$.

$$\rho_4 = R_{1_0},$$

$$\rho_{3,1} = R_{1_3'}, \rho_{111,1} = R_{1_3''},$$

$$\rho_{2,2} = (1/2)R_{2_1} + (1/2)R_{2_2} + \Xi_1,$$

$$\rho_{2,11} = (1/2)R_{2_1} - (1/2)R_{2_2} + \Xi_2,$$

$$\rho_{21,1} = (1/2)R_{2_1} + (1/2)R_{2_2} + \Xi_3,$$

$$\rho_{1111} = R_{1_6},$$

where $\Xi_1, \Xi_2, \Xi_3 \in \mathcal{G}_0$. Note that our ρ_i are defined over $\mathbf{Q}$ hence they are the same as the extensions considered in [M1].

In [M1, Theorem 10], the values $R_j(g)$ are explicitly computed (as polynomials in q) for any $j \in J$ and any unipotent element in $D(F_q)$. The analogue of [M2, Proposition 7] holds here with a similar proof; it implies that $\sum_{g\in\gamma(F_q)} \Xi(g) = 0$ for any $\gamma \in \underline{D}$, $\Xi \in \mathcal{G}_0$. Hence we see from $\spadesuit$ that

(a) *$\sum_{g\in\gamma(F_q)} \rho_i(g)$ is explicitly known (as a polynomial in q) for any $\gamma \in \underline{D}$ and any $i \in I_{ex}$.*

From 2.1(b) we have for any $\gamma \in \underline{D}$ and any $w \in \mathbf{W}_{D-min}$:

$$|\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma(F_q)| = \sum_{g\in\gamma(F_q)} \text{tr}(S_g T_w : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i\in I_{ex}} (\sum_{g\in\gamma(F_q)} \text{tr}(g, \rho_i))\text{tr}(V_i T_w, E_q^i).$$

Here the last sum can be calculated as an explicit polynomial in q using (a) and the known values of the polynomials $\text{tr}(V_i T_w, E_q^i)$ (available through the CHEVIE package). This calculation was performed using a computer. The explicit knowledge of the quantities $|\{(g, B) \in \gamma(F_q) \times \mathcal{B}(F_q); (B, gBg^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w\}|$ as polynomials in q allows us to decide when $\gamma \in \Sigma_{w,D}$ (this holds precisely when the polynomial is nonzero). We can then verify that Theorem 1.3 holds in our case.

The calculation of the sum above yields in particular:

$$|\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma(F_q)| = |G^0(F_q)|$$

whenever $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}^{el}$, $w \in C_{min}$ and $\gamma = \Phi(C)$. From this and from [L9, 0.3(b)] we deduce as in 2.3 that

(b) *$\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma$ is a single G^0-orbit if $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}^{el}$, $w \in C_{min}$ and $\gamma = \Phi(C)$.*

We now describe explicitly the map Φ in our case. We parametrize $\underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$ as in the CHEVIE package. Thus we view $\mathbf{W}$ as a subgroup of a Weyl group W' of type F_4 which is normalized by an element ω of order 3 in W' such that $\omega y \omega^{-1} = \epsilon_D(y)$ for $y \in \mathbf{W}$. If $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$ then $C\omega$ is contained in a unique conjugacy class C' of W' and we give C the same name as that given in [Ca] to C' (we also add an $^!$ to the name of an ϵ_D-elliptic conjugacy class). The objects of $\underline{D}$ are denoted as $\gamma_{14}, \gamma_8, \gamma_6, \gamma_4, \gamma_2$. Here the subscript denotes the codimension of the class (it is taken from [M1, Table VIII]). The map Φ is as follows:

$\tilde{A}_2 \mapsto \gamma_{14}$
$\tilde{A}_2 A_2^!, \tilde{A}_2 A_1 \mapsto \gamma_8$
$C_3 A_1^!, C_3 \mapsto \gamma_6$
$F_4(a_1)^! \mapsto \gamma_4$ dist
$F_4^! \mapsto \gamma_2$ dist.

Here we have indicated the distinguished unipotent classes by "dist".

3. EVEN FULL ORTHOGONAL GROUPS

3.1. In this section we fix a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space V of finite even dimension $\mathbf{n} = 2n \geq 4$ with a fixed nondegenerate symplectic form $(,) : V \times V \to \mathbf{k}$ and a fixed quadratic form $Q : V \to \mathbf{k}$ such that $(x, y) = Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y)$ for $x, y \in V$. For any subspace V' of V we set $V'^{\perp} = \{x \in V; (x, V') = 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of subspaces H of V such that $\dim(H) = n$ and $Q|_H = 0$. Let $Is(V)$ be the subgroup of $GL(V)$ consisting of all $g \in GL(V)$ such that $Q(gx) = Q(x)$ for all $x \in V$. For $j \in \{0, 1\}$ let G^j be the set of all vector space isomorphisms $g : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ such that for any $H \in \mathcal{L}$ we have $\dim(g(H) \cap H) = n - j \mod 2$. Note that $Is(V) = G^0 \sqcup G^1$ is an algebraic group with identity component G^0. In this section we assume that $G = Is(V), D = G^1$. Then G, D are as in 1.1(a).

3.2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all sequences $V_* = (0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \ldots \subset V_{\mathbf{n}} = V)$ of subspaces of V such that $\dim V_i = i$ for $i \in [0, \mathbf{n}]$, $Q|_{V_i} = 0$ and $V_i^{\perp} = V_{\mathbf{n}-i}$ for all $i \in [0, n]$. There is a unique involution $V_* \mapsto \tilde{V}_*$ of $\mathcal{F}$ where $\tilde{V}_i = V_i$ for $i \neq n$, $\tilde{V}_n \neq V_n$.

For $g \in Is(V)$, $V_* \in \mathcal{F}$ we define $g \cdot V_* \in \mathcal{F}$ by setting for any $i \in [0, \mathbf{n}]$: $(g \cdot V_*)_i = gV_i$. This defines an action of $Is(V)$ on $\mathcal{F}$.

3.3. Let W be the group of permutations of $[1, \mathbf{n}]$ which commute with the involution $i \mapsto \mathbf{n} - i + 1$ of $[1, \mathbf{n}]$. We define a map $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \to W$, $(V_*, V'_*) \mapsto a_{V_*, V'_*}$ as in [L6, 1.4]; then $(V_*, V'_*) \mapsto a_{V_*, V'_*}$ defines a bijection from the set of $Is(V)$-orbits on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ (for the diagonal action) to W. For $w \in W$ let $\mathcal{O}_w$ be the $Is(V)$-orbit on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ corresponding to w. Define $s_n \in W$ by $a_{V_*, \tilde{V}_*} = s_n$ for any $V_* \in \mathcal{F}$. We define W' as the group of even permutations in W (a subgroup of index 2 of W). We view W (resp. W') as a Coxeter group of type B_n (resp. D_n) as in [L6, 1.4]. We fix one of the two G^0-orbits on $\mathcal{F}$; we call it $\mathcal{F}'$.

For any $V_* \in \mathcal{F}$ we set $B_{V_*} = \{g \in G^0; g \cdot V_* = V_*\}$, a Borel subgroup of G^0. Then $V_* \mapsto B_{V_*}$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}$, the variety of Borel subgroups of G^0. We identify W' with $\mathbf{W}$, the Weyl group of G^0, as in [L6, 1.5]. In our case we have $\epsilon_D(w) = s_n w s_n$ for $w \in \mathbf{W}$. If $V_* \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $B_{V_*} = B_{\tilde{V}_*}$.

3.4. Until the end of 3.7 we fix $p_* = (p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \cdots \geq p_\sigma)$, a sequence of integers ≥ 1 such that σ is odd and $p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_\sigma = n$. Define $w_{p_*} \in W$ as in [L6, 1.6]. Note that $w_{p_*} \in W - W'$. Using [L6, 2.2(a)] and with notation of [L6, 1.4] we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_n w_{p_*}^{-1} = (s_{n-1}) \ldots (s_{n-p_\sigma+1}) \times \\
&(s_{n-p_\sigma} \ldots s_{n-1} \tau_{n-1} \ldots s_{n-p_\sigma})(s_{n-p_\sigma-1})(s_{n-p_\sigma-2}) \ldots (s_{n-p_\sigma-p_{\sigma-1}+1}) \times \\
&(s_{n-p_\sigma-p_{\sigma-1}} \ldots s_{n-1} \tilde{s}_{n-1} \ldots s_{n-p_\sigma-p_{\sigma-1}})(s_{n-p_\sigma-p_{\sigma-1}-1})(s_{n-p_\sigma-p_{\sigma-1}-2}) \\
&\ldots (s_{n-p_\sigma-p_{\sigma-1}-p_{\sigma-2}+1}) \times \\
&\ldots \\
&(s_{n-p_\sigma-\cdots-p_2} \ldots s_{n-1} \tilde{s}_{n-1} \ldots s_{n-p_\sigma-\cdots-p_2})(s_{n-p_\sigma-\cdots-p_2-1}) \\
&(s_{n-p_\sigma-\cdots-p_2-2}) \ldots (s_{n-p_\sigma-\cdots-p_1+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the length of $s_n w_{p_*}^{-1}$ in W' is equal to the length of $w_{p_*}^{-1}$ in W minus

σ; hence it is $2\sum_{v=1}^{\sigma-1} vp_{v+1}+n-\sigma$. Thus $w_{p_*}s_n$ has minimal length in its ϵ_D-conjugacy class in $\mathbf{W}=W'$ (see [GKP]).

For any $g\in G^1$ let X_g be the set of all $(V_*,V'_*)\in\mathcal{F}\times\mathcal{F}$ such that $a_{V_*,V'_*}=w_{p_*}$ (or equivalently $a_{V_*,\tilde V'_*}=w_{p_*}s_n$) and $g\cdot V_*=V'_*$. We have the following result [L6, 3.3].

Proposition 3.5. *Let $g\in G^1$ and let $(V_*,V'_*)\in X_g$. There exist vectors $v_1,v_2,\dots,v_\sigma$ in V (each v_i being unique up to multiplication by ±1 such that for any $r\in[1,\sigma]$ the following hold.*

(i) $V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i}=S(g^jv_k;k\in[1,r-1],j\in[0,p_k-1]$ or $k=r,j\in[0,i-1]\}$ for $i\in[0,p_r]$;

(ii) $(g^iv_t,v_r)=0$ for any $1\le t<r$, $i\in[-p_t,p_t-1]$;

(iii) $(v_r,g^iv_r)=0$ for $i\in[-p_r+1,p_r-1]$, $Q(v_r)=0$ and $(v_r,g^{p_r}v_r)=1$;

(iv) setting $E_r=S(g^{-p_t+i}v_t;t\in[1,r],i\in[0,p_t-1])$ we have $V=V_{p_{\le r}}\oplus E_r^\perp$.

(v) the vectors $(g^jv_t)_{t\in[1,\sigma],j\in[-p_t,p_t-1]}$ form a basis of V.

3.6. Let $g\in G^1$. Let $\mathcal{S}_g$ be the set of all sequences $L_1,L_2,\dots,L_\sigma$ of lines in V such that for any $r\in[1,\sigma]$ we have

(i) $(g^iL_t,L_r)=0$ for any $1\le t<r$, $i\in[-p_t,p_t-1]$;

(ii) $(L_r,g^iL_r)=0$ for $i\in[-p_r+1,p_r-1]$, $Q(v_r)=0$;

(iii) $(L_r,g^{p_r}L_r)\ne0$.

We note:

(a) *if $L_1,L_2,\dots,L_\sigma$ is in $\mathcal{S}_g$ then the lines $\{g^{-p_t+i}L_t;t\in[1,\sigma],i\in[0,2p_t-1]\}$ form a direct sum decomposition of V.*

The proof is the same as that of 3.5(v).

Note that the assignment $(V_*,V'_*)\mapsto(L_1,L_2,\dots,L_\sigma)$ (where L_i is spanned by v_i as in 3.5) defines a bijection

(b) $X_g\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{S}_g$.

3.7. In the remainder of this section we assume that $p=2$ so that G,D are as in 1.1(a),(b). Let $\tilde V=V\oplus\mathbf{k}$. We define a quadratic form $\tilde Q:\tilde V\to\mathbf{k}$ by $\tilde Q(x,e)=Q(x)+e^2$ where $x\in V,e\in\mathbf{k}$. Let $(,)'$ be the symplectic form on $\tilde V$ attached to $\tilde Q$. From [L6, 2.8], [L6, 3.3], [L6, 3.5(c)] we see that there exists a unipotent isometry $\tilde g:\tilde V\to\tilde V$ of $\tilde Q$ with Jordan blocks of sizes $2p_1,2p_2,\dots,2p_\sigma,1$ and vectors $v_1,v_2,\dots,v_{\sigma+1}$ in $\tilde V$ so that the following hold.

$(\tilde g^iv_t,v_r)'=0$ for any $1\le t<r\le\sigma+1$, $i\in[-p_t,p_t-1]$;

$(v_r,\tilde g^iv_r)'=0$ for any $r\in[1,\sigma]$, $i\in[-p_r+1,p_r-1]$;

$\tilde Q(v_r)=0$ and $(v_r,\tilde g^{p_r}v_r)'=1$ for any $r\in[1,\sigma]$;

$\tilde Q(v_{\sigma+1})=1$;

for any $t\in[1,\sigma]$, the subspace spanned by $(\tilde g^{-p_t+i}v_t)_{i\in[0,2p_t-1]}$ is $\tilde g$-stable and $\tilde gv_{\sigma+1}=v_{\sigma+1}$;

the vectors $(\tilde g^jv_t)_{t\in[1,\sigma],j\in[-p_t,p_t-1]}$ together with $v_{\sigma+1}$ form a basis of V.

Let V' be the subspace of $\tilde V$ spanned by $(\tilde g^jv_t)_{t\in[1,\sigma],j\in[-p_t,p_t-1]}$. Clearly, $(,)'$ is nondegenerate when restricted to V' and V' is a $\tilde g$-stable hyperplane in $\tilde V$ such that $\tilde g : V' \to V'$ is a unipotent isometry of $\tilde Q|_{V'}$ with Jordan blocks of sizes $2p_1, 2p_2, \dots, 2p_\sigma$.

Now the lines spanned by $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\sigma$ satisfy the definition of $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde g|_{V'}}$ where $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde g|_{V'}}$ is defined in terms of $\tilde g|_{V'}, V'$ in the same way as $\mathcal{S}_g$ was defined in terms of g, V in 3.6. Thus $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde g|_{V'}} \ne \emptyset$. Since $V', \tilde Q_{V'}$ is isomorphic to V, Q, it follows that there exists a unipotent isometry $g : V \to V$ of Q with Jordan blocks of sizes $2p_1, 2p_2, \dots, 2p_\sigma$ such that $\mathcal{S}_g \ne \emptyset$. Using 3.6(b) we deduce that for this g we have $X_g \ne \emptyset$. Note that g is necessarily in G^1.

3.8. Let $(V_*, V'_*) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ be such that $a_{V_*,V'_*} = w_{p_*}$ or equivalently $a_{V_*,\tilde V_*} = w_{p_*} s_n$. We can assume that $V_* \in \mathcal{F}'$. Then $\tilde V_* \in \mathcal{F}'$.

Let γ be a unipotent G^0-conjugacy class in G^1 such that $g \cdot V_* = V'_*$ for some $g \in \gamma$ and such that some/any $g \in \gamma$ has Jordan blocks of sizes $2p_1, 2p_2, \dots, 2p_\sigma$. (Such γ exists by 3.7.) Let γ' be a unipotent G^0-conjugacy class in G^1 such that $g' \cdot V_* = V'_*$ for some $g' \in \gamma'$. We show:

(a) *γ is contained in the closure of γ'.*

Let $Sp(V)$ the group of all automorphisms of V that preserve $(,)$. We have $G^1 \subset Sp(V)$. Let γ_1, γ'_1 be the $Sp(V)$-conjugacy class containing γ, γ' respectively. By [LX, 1.3], γ_1 is contained in the closure of γ'_1 in $Sp(V)$ and then, using [Sp, II,8.2], we see that γ is contained in the closure of γ' in G^1. This proves (a).

From (a) we see that 1.3(a) holds for any ϵ_D-elliptic conjugacy class C in $\mathbf{W}$. We also see that 1.3(b), 1.3(d) hold for G, D (we use the description of distinguished unipotent classes of D given in [Sp]). This completes the verification of 1.4 for our G, D.

4. Bilinear forms

4.1. In this and the next two sections we assume that we are in one of the following two cases:

$\mathbf{k}$ is an algebraically closed field and we set $q = 1$, or

$\mathbf{k}$ is an algebraic closure of a finite field F_q with q elements (we then have $q > 1$). Let V be a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space of dimension $n \ge 1$; let V^* be the dual vector space. For $x \in V, \xi \in V^*$ we set $(x, \xi) = \xi(x) \in \mathbf{k}$. For any subspace V' of V we set $V'^{\perp} = \{\xi \in V^*; (V', \xi) = 0\}$; for any subspace U of V^* we set $U^{\perp} = \{x \in V; (x, U) = 0\}$. For any $j \in \mathbf{Z}_{odd}$ let G^j be the set of all group isomorphisms $g : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^*$ such that $g(\lambda x) = \lambda^{q^j} g(x)$ for $x \in V, \lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. For any $j \in \mathbf{Z}_{ev}$ let G^j be the set of all group isomorphisms $g : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ such that $g(\lambda x) = \lambda^{q^j} g(x)$ for $x \in V, \lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. We set $D = G^1$. For any $g \in G^j$ (j odd) we define a group isomorphism $\check g : V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ by $(\check g(\xi), g(x)) = (x, \xi)^{q^j}$ for all $x \in V, \xi \in V^*$; we have $\check g(\lambda \xi) = \lambda^{q^j} \check g(\xi)$ for $\xi \in V^*, \lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. For any $g \in G^j$ (j even) we define a group isomorphism $\check g : V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} V^*$ by $(g(x), \check g(\xi)) = (x, \xi)^{q^j}$ for all $x \in V, \xi \in V^*$; we have $\check g(\lambda \xi) = \lambda^{q^j} \check g(\xi)$ for $\xi \in V^*, \lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. For $g \in G^j$, $g' \in G^{j'}$ we define $g * g' \in G^{j+j'}$ by $g * g' = gg'$ if j' is even; $g * g' = \check g g'$ if j' is odd. If, in addition, $g'' \in G^{j''}$, then

$(g*g')*g''=g*(g'*g'')$ is equal to $gg'g''$ if j',j'' are even; to $\check{g}g'g''$ if j' is odd, j'' is even; to $g\check{g}'g''$ if j',j'' are odd; to $\check{g}\check{g}'g''$ if j' is even, j'' is odd. For $g\in G^j$ we define $g^{*(-1)}\in G^{-j}$ by $g^{*(-1)}=(\check{g})^{-1}$ if j is odd and $g^{*(-1)}=g^{-1}$ if j is even. We have $g^{*(-1)}*g=g*g^{*(-1)}=1\in G^0$. We see that if $q>1$, $\sqcup_{j\in\mathbf{Z}}G^j$ is a group with multiplication $*$; we assume that it is the given group G in 1.1, that $G^0=GL(V)$ is the normal subgroup of G given in 1.1 and D is the given G^0-coset given in 1.1. If $q=1$, G^j depends only on the parity of j and any element of G^j is a vector space isomorphism; also, $*$ defines a group structure on $G^0\sqcup G^1$ which becomes an algebraic group with identity component $G^0=GL(V)$; we assume that $G^0\sqcup G^1$ is the given group G in 1.1, that $G^0=GL(V)$ is the normal subgroup of G given in 1.1 and D is the given G^0-coset given in 1.1 (in this case G,D are as in 1.1(a)).

If $g\in G^1$ then the j-th power of g in G is denoted by g^{*j}; we have $g^{*j}\in G^j$; this agrees with the earlier definition of $g^{*(-1)}$. From the definitions we have $g^{*a}g^{*b}=g^{*(a+b)}$ if b is even. Also,

(a) $$(x,gx')=(x',g^{*(-1)}x)^q \text{ for } x,x'\in V$$

. This implies

$$(g^{*(a+c)}x,g^{*(b+c)}x')=(g^{*a}x,g^{*b}x')^{q^c}$$

for a,c even, b odd and $x,x'\in V$.

4.2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of sequences $V_*=(0=V_0\subset V_1\subset V_2\subset\dots\subset V_n=V)$ of subspaces of V such that $\dim V_i=i$ for $i\in[0,n]$. We identify $\mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{B}$ by $V_*\mapsto B_{V_*}=\{x\in GL(V);xV_i=V_i \text{ for all } i\}$.

For $g\in G^j$, $V_*\in\mathcal{F}$ we define $g\cdot V_*\in\mathcal{F}$ by seeting for any $i\in[0,n]$: $(g\cdot V_*)_i=gV_i$ (if j is even), $(g\cdot V_*)_i=(gV_{n-i})^\perp$ (if j is odd). If $g\in G^j$, $g'\in G^{j'}$ and $V_*\in\mathcal{F}$, then $g\cdot(g'\cdot V_*)=(g*g')\cdot V_*$. (We use that $(gW)^\perp=\check{g}(W^\perp)$ for any subspace W of V.) Thus, $g,V_*\mapsto g\cdot V_*$ is a G-action on $\mathcal{F}$. Under the identification $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{B}$, this becomes the G-action $g:B\mapsto g*B*g^{*(-1)}$ on $\mathcal{B}$.

4.3. Let S_n be the group of permutations of $[1,n]$. For any permutation $w\in S_n$ let $\mathcal{O}_w$ be the set of pairs $(V_*,V'_*)\in\mathcal{F}\times\mathcal{F}$ such that $\dim(V'_j\cap V_{w(j)})=\dim(V'_j\cap V_{w(j)-1})+1$ for all $j\in[1,n]$. Note that if $(V_*,V'_*)\in\mathcal{O}_w$ and $j\in[1,n]$ then

(a) $$V_{w(j)}=(V'_j\cap V_{w(j)})+V_{w(j)-1}.$$

Now $\mathcal{O}_w$ is a single G^0-orbit on $\mathcal{F}\times\mathcal{F}$ (for the diagonal action). Hence w can be viewed as an element of $\mathbf{W}$. This identifies $\mathbf{W}$ with S_n.

Define $\mathbf{w}\in S_n$ by $j\mapsto n+1-j$. From the definitions we see that

(b) *if* $(V_*,V'_*)\in\mathcal{O}_w$ *then* $(V'_*,V_*)\in\mathcal{O}_{w^{-1}}$.

(c) *if* $(V_*,V'_*)\in\mathcal{O}_w$ *and* $h\in G^1$ *then* $(h\cdot V_*,h\cdot V'_*)\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}w\mathbf{w}}$.

For $w\in\mathbf{W}$ we have $\epsilon_D(w)=\mathbf{w}w\mathbf{w}$.

4.4. Let $p_* = (p_1 \ge p_2 \ge \dots \ge p_\sigma)$ be a descending sequence in $\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that $2(p_1+p_2+\dots+p_\sigma) = n+\sigma$. Let z_{p_*} be the permutation of $[1,n]$ such that for any $r \in [1,\sigma]$ we have

$$(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i \mapsto p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i+1 \quad (i \in [1,p_r-1]);$$

$$n-(p_1+\dots+p_r-1) \mapsto p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+1;$$

$$n-((p_1+\dots+p_{r-1})+i) \mapsto n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i) \quad (i \in [0,p_r-2]).$$

From the results in [GKP] we see that z_{p_*} has minimal legth in its ϵ_D-conjugacy class in $\mathbf{W} = W'$. In the remainder of this section we write w instead of z_{p_*}.

The composition $\mathbf{w}w$ is the permutation of $[1,n]$ such that for any $r \in [1,\sigma]$ we have

$$(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i \mapsto n-(p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i) \quad (i \in [1,p_r-1]);$$

$$n-(p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+p_r-1) \mapsto n-(p_1+\dots+p_{r-1});$$

$$n-((p_1+\dots+p_{r-1})+i) \mapsto (p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i+1 \quad (i \in [0,p_r-2]).$$

This is the product of σ disjoint cycles of size $2p_1-1, 2p_2-1, \dots, 2p_\sigma-1$:

$$1 \mapsto n-1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto n-2 \mapsto 3 \mapsto n-3 \mapsto \dots \mapsto p_1-1 \mapsto n-(p_1-1) \mapsto n \mapsto 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} &p_1 \mapsto n-(p_1+1) \mapsto p_1+1 \mapsto n-(p_1+2) \mapsto \dots \mapsto p_1+p_2-2 \mapsto \\ &n-(p_1+p_2-1) \mapsto n-p_1 \mapsto p_1, \dots. \end{aligned}$$

The permutation $\mathbf{w}w^{-1}\mathbf{w}$ is given by

$$(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i \mapsto (p_1+\dots+p_{r-1})+i \quad (i \in [1,p_r-1]),$$

$$n-(p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}) \mapsto p_1+\dots+p_r,$$

$$n-(p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i) \mapsto n+1-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i) \quad (i \in [1,p_r-1]).$$

The following equality is a special case of 4.3(a):

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \begin{aligned} &V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i+1} \\ &= (V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i} \cap V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i+1}) + V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i} \end{aligned}$$

if $r \in [1,\sigma], i \in [0,p_r-1]$.

4.5. Let $(V_*, V'_*) \in \mathcal{O}_w$. Using the equality

(a) $$\dim(V_j \cap V'_k) = \sharp(h \in [1,k]; w(h) \le j\}$$

we see that for any $r \in [1,\sigma]$ we have

$$\dim(V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i} \cap V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i+1}) = (p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i,$$

$$\dim(V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i} \cap V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i} = (p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i-1$$

for $i \in [1, p_r-1]$;

$$\dim(V'_{n-((p_1+\dots+p_{r-1})+i)} \cap V_{n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i)}) = n-((p_1+\dots+p_{r-1})+i),$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim(V'_{n-((p_1+\dots+p_{r-1})+i)} \cap V_{n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i)-1})\\ &= n-((p_1+\dots+p_{r-1})+i)-1\end{aligned}$$

for $i \in [0, p_r-2]$;

$$\dim(V'_{n-(p_1+\dots+p_r-1)} \cap V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+1}) = (p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+1,$$

$$\dim(V'_{n-(p_1+\dots+p_r-1)} \cap V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}}) = (p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1);$$

in particular we have

(b) $$V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i} \subset V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i+1}$$

for $i \in [1, p_r-1]$. Similarly for $(V_*, V''_*) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}w^{-1}\mathbf{w}}$ and any $r \in [1,\sigma], i \in [1, p_r-1]$ we have

$$\dim(V''_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i} \cap V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i}) = (p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i;$$

hence

(c) $$V''_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i} \subset V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i}.$$

4.6. Let $g \in G^1$. Let

$$X_g = \{(V_*, V'_*) \in\in \mathcal{O}_w; V'_* = g \cdot V_*\},$$

$$X'_{g^{-1}} = \{(V_*, V''_*) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}w^{-1}\mathbf{w}}; V''_* = g^{-1} \cdot V_*\}.$$

We show:

(a) *If* $(V_*, V'_*) \in X_g$ *and* $V''_* = g^{-1} \cdot V_*$ *then* $(V_*, V''_*) \in X'_{g^{-1}}$.

Indeed, from $(V_*, g \cdot V_*) \in \mathcal{O}_w$ we deduce using 4.3(c) that $(g^{-1} \cdot V_*, V_*) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}w\mathbf{w}}$ which implies (a) in view of 4.3(b).

In the following result we fix $(V_*, V'_*) \in X_g$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $u \in [1,\sigma]$. There exist vectors $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_u$ in V (each v_i being unique up to multiplication by an element in $\{\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*; \lambda^{q^{2p_i-1}+1} = 1\}$) such that for any $r \in [1,u]$ the following hold.*

(i) for $i \in [1,p_r]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i}\\&\quad = S(g^{*j}v_k; k \in [1,r-1], j \in [0,2p_k-2]_{ev} \text{ or } k=r, j \in [0,2i-1]_{ev})\end{aligned}$$

(in particular, the vectors $g^{*j}v_k (k \in [1,r], j \in [0,2p_k-2]_{ev})$ are linearly independent);

(ii) for $i \in [1,p_r-1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i}\\&\quad = S(g^{*j}v_k; k \in [1,r-1], j \in [1,2p_k-1]_{ev} \text{ or } k=r, j \in [1,2i]_{ev});\end{aligned}$$

(iii) setting $E_r = S(g^{*j}v_k; k \in [1,r], j \in [-2p_k+1,-1]_{odd}) \subset V^*$ we have $V = V_{p_1+\dots+p_r} \oplus E_r^{\perp}$;

(iv) $(v_r, g^{*j}v_t) = 0$ if $t \in [1,\sigma]$, $t<r$, $j \in [-2p_t+1, 2p_t-2]_{odd}$;

(v) $(v_r, g^{*j}v_r) = 0$ if $j \in [-2p_r+2, 2p_r-2]_{odd}$;

(vi) $(v_r, g^{*(2p_r-1)v_r})\rangle = 1$.

We can assume that the result holds when u is replaced by a strictly smaller number in $[1,u]$. (This assumption is empty when $u=1$.) In particular $v_1, \dots, v_{u-1}$ are defined. By assumption we have $V = V_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}} \oplus E_{u-1}^{\perp}$ hence $V_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1} \cap E_{u-1}^{\perp}$ is a line. (We set $E_0 = 0$ so that $E_0^{\perp} = V$.) Let v_u be a nonzero vector on this line.

We show that (iv) holds for $r=u$. From the induction hypothesis we have

$$V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{u-1}-1)} = S(g^{*j}v_k; k \in [1,u-1], j \in [1,2p_k-1]_{ev}).$$

Hence

$$(gV_{n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{u-1}-1))})^{\perp} \subset S(g^{*j}v_k; k \in [1,u-1], j \in [1,2p_k-1]_{ev}).$$

We have $V_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1} \subset V_{n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{u-1}-1))}$ since

$$p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1 \le n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{u-1}-1)).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&gv_u \in gV_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1} \subset gV_{n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{u-1}-1))}\\&\quad = (V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{u-1}-1)})^{\perp} = S(g^{*j}v_k; k \in [1,u-1], j \in [1,2p_k-1]_{ev})^{\perp}.\end{aligned}$$

(The last equality uses the induction hypothesis.) Thus if $k \in [1, u-1], j \in [1, 2p_k - 1]_{ev}$ we have $(g^{*j} v_k, gv_u) = 0$ so that if $k \in [u-1], j \in [0, 2p_k - 2]_{odd}$ then $(v_u, g^{*j} v_k) = 0$. Since $v_u \in E_{u-1}^{\perp}$ we have $(v_u, g^{*j} v_k) = 0$ if $k \in [1, u-1], j \in [-2p_k + 1, -1]_{odd}$. Thus $(v_u, g^{*j} v_k) = 0$ if $k \in [1, u-1], j \in [-2p_k + 1, 2p_k - 2]_{odd}$. This proves (iv).

We show that (i) and (ii) hold for $r = u$. It is enough to show (i) when $i \in [1, p_u]$ and (ii) when $i \in [1, p_u - 1]$. From the definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} &V_{p_1 + \cdots + p_{u-1} + 1} = V_{p_1 + \cdots + p_{u-1}} + \mathbf{k} v_u \\ &= S(g^{*j} v_k; k \in [1, u-1], j \in [0, 2p_k - 2]_{ev} \text{ or } k = u, j = 0). \end{aligned}$$

We assume that for some $a \in [1, p_u - 1]$, (i) is known for $i = 1, 2, \dots, a$ and (ii) is known for $i = 1, 2, \dots, a-1$. It is enough to show that (i) holds $i = a+1$ and (ii) holds for $i = a$. By assumption we have

$$V_{p_1 + \cdots + p_{u-1} + a} = S(g^{*j} v_k; k \in [1, u-1], j \in [0, 2p_k - 2]_{ev} \text{ or } k = u, j \in [0, 2a-1]_{ev}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} &V'_{(p_1 - 1) + \cdots + (p_{u-1} - 1) + a - 1} \\ &= S(g^{*j} v_k; k \in [1, u-1], j \in [1, 2p_k - 1]_{ev} \text{ or } k = u, j \in [1, 2a-2]_{ev}). \end{aligned}$$

We have $V_{p_1 + \cdots + p_{u-1}} \subset V_{n - ((p_1 - 1) + \cdots + (p_{u-1} - 1) + a)}$ since

$$p_1 + \cdots + p_{u-1} \le n - ((p_1 - 1) + \cdots + (p_{u-1} - 1) + a).$$

Hence for $k \in [1, u-1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &gv_k \in gV_{p_1 + \cdots + p_{u-1}} \subset gV_{n - ((p_1 - 1) + \cdots + (p_{u-1} - 1) + a)} \\ &= (V'_{(p_1 - 1) + \cdots + (p_{u-1} - 1) + a})^{\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &V'_{(p_1 - 1) + \cdots + (p_{u-1} - 1) + a} \\ &= S(g^{*j} v_k; k \in [1, u-1], j \in [1, 2p_k - 1]_{ev} \text{ or } k = u, j \in [1, 2a-2]_{ev}) \oplus \mathbf{k}\eta \end{aligned}$$

for some $\eta \in V$ and by the previous sentence we have (η, gv_k) for $k \in [1, u-1]$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &V'_{(p_1 - 1) + \cdots + (p_{u-1} - 1) + a} \subset g^{*2} V_{p_1 + \cdots + p_{u-1} + a} \\ &= S(g^{*j} v_k; k \in [1, u-1], j \in [2, 2p_k]_{ev} \text{ or } k = u, j \in [2, 2a]_{ev}), \end{aligned}$$

(see 4.6(a), 4.5(c)) we see that we can assume that $\eta=\sum_{k\in[1,u-1]}c_kg^{*(2p_k)}v_k+c_ug^{*(2a)}v_u$ where $c_1,\dots,c_u\in\mathbf{k}$ are not all zero. Assume that $c_r\ne 0$ for some $r\in[1,u-1]$; let r_0 be the smallest such r. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&0=(\eta,gv_{r_0})=c_{r_0}\langle v_{r_0},g^{*(2p_{r_0}-1)}v_{r_0}\rangle^q\\&+\sum_{k\in[r_0+1,u-1]}c_k(v_k,g^{*(-2p_k+1)}v_{r_0})^{q^{2p_k}}+c_u\langle v_u,g^{*(-2a+1)}v_{r_0}\rangle^{q^{2a}}=c_{r_0}.\end{aligned}$$

(We have used (iv) and that for $k>r_0$ we have $-2p_k+1\in[-2p_{r_0}+1,-1]$.) Thus $c_{r_0}=0$, a contradiction. We see that η is a nonzero multiple of $g^{*(2a)}v_u$. We can assume that $\eta=g^{*(2a)}v_u$; we see that (ii) holds for $i=a$.

We have $V_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+a+1}=V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{u-1}-1)+a}+V_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+a}$. (We use 4.4(a) and the inclusion $V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{u-1}-1)+a}\subset V_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+a+1}$ in 4.5(b).) Using this, (ii) for $i=a$ and the induction hupothesis we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&V_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+a+1}=S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u-1],j\in[1,2p_k-1]_{ev}\text{ or }k=u,j\in[1,2a]_{ev})\\&+S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,r-1],j\in[0,2p_k-2]_{ev}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[0,2a-1]_{ev})\\&=S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u-1],j\in[0,2p_k-2]_{ev}\text{ or }k=u,j\in[0,2a+1]_{ev})\end{aligned}$$

so that (i) holds for $i=a+1$. This proves (i) and (ii).

We show that (v) holds for $r=u$. We have $V_{p_1+\dots+p_u}\subset V_{n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_u-1))}$ since $p_1+\dots+p_u\le n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_u-1))$. Hence

$$gV_{p_1+\dots+p_u}\subset gV_{n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_u-1))}=(V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_u-1)})^\perp.$$

Using this and (i),(ii) we deduce

$$S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u],j\in[1,2p_k-1]_{odd})\subset S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u],j\in[1,2p_k-1]_{ev})^\perp.$$

In particular if $j\in[1,2p_u-1]_{ev}$, $j'\in[1,2p_u-1]_{odd}$ then $(g^{*j}v_u,g^{*j'}v_u)=0$ and (v) follows.

We show that (vi) holds for $r=u$. From 4.5(a) we have

$$\dim(V'_{n-(p_1+\dots+p_u)}\cap V_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1})=(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{u-1}-1).$$

Hence

$$\dim(V'_{n-(p_1+\dots+p_u)}+V_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1})=n-(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_u-1)$$

so that

$$\dim((V'_{n-(p_1+\dots+p_u)})^\perp\cap V^\perp_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1})=(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_u-1)$$

that is

$$\dim(gV_{p_1+\dots+p_u}\cap V^{\perp}_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1})=(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_u-1).$$

By (i) we have $S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u],j\in[1,2p_k-3]_{odd})\subset gV_{p_1+\dots+p_u}$. By (i) and (iv),(v), we have $S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u],j\in[1,2p_k-3]_{odd})\subset V^{\perp}_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1}$. Hence

$$S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u],j\in[1,2p_k-3]_{odd})\subset gV_{p_1+\dots+p_u}\cap V^{\perp}_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1}.$$

By (i) we have

$$\dim S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u],j\in[1,2p_k-3]_{odd})=(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_u-1).$$

Hence we must have

$$S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u],j\in[1,2p_k-3]_{odd})=gV_{p_1+\dots+p_u}\cap V^{\perp}_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1}.$$

By (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u],j\in[1,2p_k-3]_{odd}\text{ or }k=u,j=2p_u-1)\\&=(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_u-1)+1\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$g^{*(2p_u-1)}v_u\notin S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u],j\in[1,2p_k-3]_{odd})$$

so that

$$g^{*(2p_u-1)}v_u\notin gV_{p_1+\dots+p_u}\cap V^{\perp}_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1}.$$

Since $g^{*(2p_u-1)}v_u\in gV_{p_1+\dots+p_u}$ (by (i)) it follows that $g^{*(2p_u-1)}v_u\notin V^{\perp}_{p_1+\dots+p_{u-1}+1}$. Using (i) we deduce

$$g^{*(2p_u-1)}v_u\notin S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u-1],j\in[0,2p_k-2]_{ev}\text{ or }k=u,j=0)^{\perp}.$$

Since

$$g^{*(2p_u-1)}v_u\notin S(g^{*j}v_k;k\in[1,u-1],j\in[0,2p_k-2]_{ev})^{\perp}$$

(see (iv)) we deduce that $(v_u,g^{*(2p_u-1)}v_u)\ne0$. Replacing v_u by a scalar multiple we see that we can assume that $(v_u,g^{*(2p_u-1)}v_u)=1$. This proves (vi).

We show that (iii) holds for $r=u$. Note that $\dim(E_u)\le p_1+\dots+p_r$; hence $\dim(E_u^{\perp})+\dim V_{p_1+\dots+p_u}\ge n$. Using this we see that it is enough to show that $E_u^{\perp}\cap V_{p_1+\dots+p_u}=0$. Taking (i) into account we see that it is enough to verify the following statement:

(∗) *Let* $f=\sum_{k\in[1,u],i\in[1,p_k]}c_{k,i}g^{*(2p_k-2i)}v_k$ $(c_{k,i}\in\mathbf{k})$ *be such that* $(f,g^{*j'}v_{k'})=0$ *for any* $k'\in[1,u],j'\in[-2p_{k'}+1,-1]_{odd}$. *Then* $f=0$.

Assume that not all $c_{k,i}$ are zero. Let $i_0=\min\{i; c_{k,i}\ne 0 \text{ for some } k\in[1,u]\}$. Let $X'=\{k\in[1,u]; c_{k,i_0}\ne 0\}$. We have $X'\ne\emptyset$ and

$$f=\sum_{r\in X'}c_{r,i_0}g^{*(2p_r-2i_0)}v_r+\sum_{r\in[1,u]}\sum_{i\in[i_0+1,p_r]}c_{r,i}g^{*(2p_r-2i)}v_r.$$

Let r_0 be the smallest number in X'. We have

$$0=(f,g^{*(-2i_0+1)}v_{r_0})=\sum_{r\in X'}c_{r,i_0}(g^{*(2p_r-2i_0)}v_r,g^{*(-2i_0+1)}v_{r_0})$$
$$+\sum_{r\in[1,u]}\sum_{i\in[i_0+1,p_r]}c_{r,i}(g^{*(2p_r-2i)}v_r,g^{*(-2i_0+1)}v_{r_0}).$$

If $r\in X'$, $r\ne r_0$, we have $(g^{*(2p_r-2i_0)}v_r,g^{*(-2i_0+1)}v_{r_0})=(v_r,g^{*(-2p_r+1)}v_{r_0})=0$ (we use (iv); note that $r\ge r_0$ hence $p_r\le p_{r_0}$). If $r\in[1,u]$ and $i\in[i_0+1,p_r]$, we have $(g^{*(2p_r-2i)}v_r,g^{*(-2i_0+1)}v_{r_0})=0$ (we use (iv),(v); note that if $r>r_0$ we have $2(-p_r+i-i_0)+1\in[-2p_{r_0}+1,-1]$; if $r\le r_0$ we have $2(p_r-i+i_0)-1\in[0,2p_r-3]$.) Thus we have

$$0=c_{r_0,i_0}(g^{*(2p_{r_0}-2i_0)}v_{r_0},g^{*(-2i_0+1)}v_{r_0})=c_{r_0,i_0}(v_{r_0},g^{*(-2p_{r_0}+1)}v_{r_0})=c_{r_0,i_0}.$$

We see that $c_{r_0,i_0}=0$, a contradiction. This proves $(*)$ hence (iii).

This completes the proof of existence part of the proposition. The uniqueness part follows from the proof of existence. The proposition is proved.

4.8. Let $g\in G^1$. Let $\mathcal{S}_g$ be the set of all sequences $L_1,L_2,\dots,L_\sigma$ of lines in V such that for any $r\in[1,\sigma]$ we have

(i) $(L_r,g^{*j}L_t)=0$ if $t\in[1,\sigma]$, $t<r$, $j\in[-2p_t+1,2p_t-2]_{odd}$;

(ii) $(L_r,g^{*j}L_r)=0$ if $j\in[-2p_r+2,2p_r-2]_{odd}$;

(iii) $(L_r,g^{*(2p_r-1)}L_r)\ne 0$.

We show:

(a) *if $L_1,L_2,\dots,L_\sigma$ is in $\mathcal{S}_g$ then the lines $\{g^{*(-2p_k+2h)}L_k; k\in[1,\sigma], h\in[0,2p_k-2]\}$ form a direct sum decomposition of V.*

For $r\in[1,\sigma]$ we can find $v_r\in L_r$ such that $(v_r,g^{*(2p_r-1)}v_r)=1$. Assume that $f=\sum_{k\in[1,\sigma]}\sum_{h\in[0,2p_k-2]}c_{k,h}g^{*(-2p_k+2h)}v_k$ is equal to 0 where $c_{k,h}\in\mathbf{k}$ are not all zero. Let $h_0=\min\{h; c_{k,h}\ne 0\text{for some } k\in[1,\sigma]\}$. Let $X=\{k\in[1,\sigma]; c_{k,h_0}\ne 0\}$. We have $X\ne\emptyset$ and

$$f=\sum_{k\in X}c_{k,h_0}g^{*(-2p_k+2h_0)}v_k+\sum_{k\in[1,\sigma]}\sum_{h\in[h_0+1,2p_k-2]}g^{*(-2p_k+2h)}v_k.$$

Let k_0 be the largest number in X. We have

$$0=(f,g^{*(2h_0-1)}v_{k_0})=\sum_{k\in X}c_{k,h_0}(g^{*(-2p_k+2h_0)}v_k,g^{*(2h_0-1)}v_{k_0})$$
$$+\sum_{k\in[1,\sigma]}\sum_{h\in[h_0+1,2p_k-2]}(g^{*(-2p_k+2h)}v_k,g^{*(2h_0-1)}v_{k_0}).$$

If $k\in X$, $k\ne k_0$ we have $(g^{*(-2p_k+2h_0)}v_k,g^{*(2h_0-1)}v_{k_0})=0$ (using (i) and $k<k_0$). If $k\in[1,\sigma]$ and $h\in[h_0+1,2p_k-2]$ we have $(g^{*(-2p_k+2h)}v_k,g^{*(2h_0-1)}v_{k_0})=0$ (we use (i),(ii); note that if $k<k_0$, we have $-2p_k+2h-2h_0+1\in[-2p_k+1,2p_k-2]$; if $k\ge k_0$ we have $2p_k-2h+2h_0-1\in[-2p_{k_0}+2,2p_{k_0}-2]$). We see that

$$0=c_{k_0,h_0}(g^{*(-2p_{k_0}+2h_0)}v_{k_0},g^{*(2h_0-1)}v_{k_0}).$$

Using (iii) we deduce $0=c_{k_0,h_0}$, a contradiction.

4.9. Let $g\in G^1$. For any $L_1,L_2,\dots,L_\sigma$ in $\mathcal{S}_g$ we define subspaces V_j,V'_j of V ($j\in[1,n-1]$) as follows:

$$V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i}=\sum_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[0,2p_k-2]_{ev}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[0,2i-1]_{ev}}g^{*j}L_k,$$

$r\in[1,\sigma],i\in[1,p_r]$;

$$V_{n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i)}=(\sum_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[0,2p_k-2]_{odd}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[0,2i-1]_{odd}}g^{*j}L_k)^\perp,$$

$r\in[1,\sigma],i\in[1,p_r-1]$;

$$V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i}=\sum_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[1,2p_k-1]_{ev}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[1,2i]_{ev}}g^{*j}L_k,$$

$r\in[1,\sigma],i\in[1,p_r-1]$;

$$V'_{n-(p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i)}=(\sum_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[1,2p_k-1]_{odd}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[1,2i]_{odd}}g^{*j}L_k)^\perp,$$

$r\in[1,\sigma],i\in[1,p_r]$. Note that the sums above are direct, by 4.8(a). Note also that $V_{p_1+\dots+p_\sigma}=V_{n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_\sigma-1))}$ is defined in two different ways; similarly, $V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_\sigma-1)}=V'_{n-(p_1+\dots+p_\sigma)}$ is defined in two different ways; these two definitions are compatible by the definition of $\mathcal{S}_g$. We set $V_n=V'_n=V,V_0=V'_0=0$. We have $V_*=(V_j)\in\mathcal{F}$, $V'_*=(V'_j)\in\mathcal{F}$ and $V'_j=(gV_{n-j})^\perp$ for all $j\in[1,n]$.

For $r\in[1,\sigma],i\in[1,p_r-1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i}\cap V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i+1}\\&=\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[1,2p_k-1]_{ev}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[1,2i]_{ev}}g^{*j}L_k\\&\cap\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[0,2p_k-2]_{ev}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[0,2i+1]_{ev}}g^{*j}L_k\\&=\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[1,2p_k-2]_{ev}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[1,2i]_{ev}}g^{*j}L_k\end{aligned}$$

and this has dimension $(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i$;

$$\begin{aligned}
&V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i}\cap V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i}\\
&=\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[1,2p_k-1]_{ev}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[1,2i]_{ev}}g^{*j}L_k\\
&\cap\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[0,2p_k-2]_{ev}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[0,2i-1]_{ev}}g^{*j}L_k\\
&=\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[1,2p_k-2]_{ev}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[1,2i-1]_{ev}}g^{*j}L_k
\end{aligned}$$

and this has dimension $(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i-1$. For $r\in[1,\sigma],i\in[0,p_r-2]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&V'_{n-(p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i)}\cap V_{n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i)}\\
&=(\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[1,2p_k-1]_{odd}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[1,2i]_{odd}}g^{*j}L_k)^\perp\\
&\cap(\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[0,2p_k-2]_{odd}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[0,2i-1]_{odd}}g^{*j}L_k)^\perp\\
&=(\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[0,2p_k-1]_{odd}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[0,2i]_{odd}}g^{*j}L_k)^\perp
\end{aligned}$$

and this has dimension $n-(p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i)$;

$$\begin{aligned}
&V'_{n-(p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i)}\cap V_{n-((p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i+1)}\\
&=(\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[1,2p_k-1]_{odd}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[1,2i]_{odd}}g^{*j}L_k)^\perp\\
&\cap(\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[0,2p_k-2]_{odd}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[0,2i+1]_{odd}}g^{*j}L_k)^\perp\\
&=\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[0,2p_k-1]_{odd}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[0,2i+1]_{odd}}g^{*j}L_k)^\perp
\end{aligned}$$

and this has dimension $n-(p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i+1)$. For $r\in[1,\sigma]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&V'_{n-(p_1+\dots+p_r-1)}\cap V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}}\\
&=\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[1,2p_k-1]_{odd}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[1,2p_r-2)]_{odd}}g^{*j}L_k)^\perp\\
&\cap\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[0,2p_k-2]_{ev}}g^{*j}L_k\\
&=\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[1,2p_k-2]_{ev}}g^{*j}L_k
\end{aligned}$$

and this has dimension $(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)$;

$$\begin{aligned}
&V'_{n-(p_1+\dots+p_r-1)}\cap V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+1}\\
&=(\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[1,2p_k-1]_{odd}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[1,2p_r-2)]_{odd}}g^{*j}L_k)^\perp\\
&\cap\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[0,2p_k-2]_{ev}\text{ or }k=r,j\in[0,1]_{ev}}g^{*j}L_k\\
&=\oplus_{k\in[1,r-1],j\in[1,2p_k-2]_{ev}\text{ or }k=r,j=0}g^{*j}L_k
\end{aligned}$$

and this has dimension $(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+1$. (We use the definition of $\mathcal{S}_g$.) We see that $(V_*,V'_*)\in X_g$. Thus $(L_1,\dots,L_\sigma)\mapsto(V_*,V'_*)$ defines a morphism

(a) $$\mathcal{S}_g\to X_g.$$

This is an isomorphism; the inverse is provided by $(V_*,V'_*)\mapsto(L_1,\dots,L_\sigma)$ where L_r is the line spanned by v_r as in 4.7 (with $u=\sigma$).

5. Almost unipotent bilinear forms

5.1. In this section we preserve the setup of 4.1 and we assume that $q=1$, that G (which was fixed in 1.1) is as in 4.1 and $D=G^1$. Thus G,D are as in 1.1(a). Note that D does not contain unipotent elements of G, unless $p=2$. Let D_{au} be the set of all $g\in D=G^1$ such that $g^{*2}:V\to V$ is unipotent (we then say that g is *almost unipotent*).

Until the end of 5.9 we fix $p_*=(p_1\ge p_2\ge\dots\ge p_\sigma)$, a descending sequence in $\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that $2(p_1+p_2+\dots+p_\sigma)=n+\sigma$; note that the elements z_{p_*} (see 4.4) with p_* as above form a set of representatives for the elliptic ϵ_D-conjugacy classes in $\mathbf{W}$. We fix a basis $\{z^t_i;t\in[1,\sigma],i\in[0,2p_t-2]\}$ of V. For any $r\in[1,\sigma],j\in[0,2p_r-2]$ we define $z'^r_j\in V^*$ by the following requirements:

$(z^t_i,z'^r_j)=0$ if $t\ne r$

$(z^r_i,z'^r_j)=0$ if $-p_r+1\le j-i\le p_r-2$

$(z^r_i,z'^r_j)=\binom{j-i+p_r-1}{j-i-p_r+1}$ if $j-i\ge p_r-1$,

$(z^r_i,z'^r_j)=\binom{i-j+p_r-2}{i-j-p_r}$ if $j-i\le -p_r$.

Clearly, the $n\times n$ matrix with entries (z^t_i,z'^r_j) is nonsingular. Hence the z'^r_j form a basis of V^*. Define $g:V\xrightarrow{\sim}V^*$ by $g(z^t_i)=z'^t_i$ for any $t\in[1,\sigma],i\in[0,2p_t-2]$. Define $g':V^*\to V$ by

$g'(z'^r_j)=z^r_{j+1}$ for any $r\in[1,\sigma],j\in[0,2p_r-3]$,

$g'(z'^r_{2p_r-2})=\sum_{k\in[0,2p_r-2]}(-1)^k\binom{2p_r-1}{k}z^r_k$ for any $r\in[1,\sigma]$.

We show that $g'=\check g$ that is,

(a) $(g'(z'^r_j),g(z^t_i))=(z^t_i,z'^r_j)$ for all r,j,t,i.

When $t\ne r$ both sides of (a) are zero. Thus we can assume that $r=t$. Assume first that $j<2p_t-2$. We must show that

$(z^t_{j+1},z'^t_i)=(z^t_i,z'^t_j)$.

If $-p_t+1\le i-j-1\le p_t-2$ (or equivalently if $-p_t+1\le j-i\le p_t-2$) then the left hand side is 0 and the right hand side is 0).

If $i-j-1\ge p_t-1$ (or equivalenty if $j-i\le -p_t$) then the left hand side is $\binom{i-j-1+p_t-1}{i-j-1-p_t+1}$ and the right hand side is $\binom{i-j+p_t-2}{i-j-p_t}$.

If $i-j-1\le -p_t$ (or equivalenty if $j-i\ge p_t-1$) then the left hand side is $\binom{j+1-i+p_t-2}{j+1-i-p_t}$ and the right hand side is $\binom{j-i+(p_t-1)}{j-i-(p_t-1)}$.

Next we assume that $j=2p_t-2$; we must check for any $i\in[0,2p_t-2]$ that

$$\sum_{k\in[0,2p_t-2]}(z^r_k,z'^t_i)(-1)^k\binom{2p_t-1}{k}=(z^t_i,z'^t_{2p_t-2})$$

that is,

$$\sum_{k\in[0,2p_t-2];k\le i-(p_t-1)}(-1)^k\binom{i-k+(p_t-1)}{i-k-(p_t-1)}\binom{2p_t-1}{k}$$

$$+\sum_{k\in[0,2p_t-2];k\ge i+p_t}(-1)^k\binom{k-i+p_t-2}{k-i-p_t}\binom{2p_t-1}{k}$$

is equal to 0 if $i \in [p_t, 2p_t - 1]$ and to $\binom{3p_t-3-i}{p_t-1-i}$ if $i \in [0, p_t - 1]$. If $i = p_t - 1$ the desired equality is $1 = 1$. It remains to show that

$$\sum_{k \in [i+p_t, 2p_t-1]} (-1)^k \binom{k - i + p_t - 2}{k - i - p_t} \binom{2p_t - 1}{k} = 0$$

if $i \in [0, p_t - 2]$ and

$$\sum_{k \in [0, i-(p_t-1)]} (-1)^k \binom{i - k + (p_t - 1)}{i - k - (p_t - 1)} \binom{2p_t - 1}{k} = 0$$

if $i \in [p_t, 2p_t - 2]$. Both of these equalities are special cases of the identity

$$\sum_{k, k' \geq 0, k+k'=j} (-1)^k \binom{2p_t - 2 + k'}{k'} \binom{2p_t - 1}{k} = 0$$

for any $j \geq 1$, which is easily verified. (We use the convention that $\binom{2p_t-1}{k} = 0$ if $k > 2p_t - 1$.) This completes the proof of (a).

Now $g^{*2} : V \to V$ is given by $z^t_i \mapsto z^t_{i+1}$ for any $t \in [1, \sigma], i \in [0, 2p_t - 3]$ and

$$z^t_{2p_t-2} \mapsto \sum_{k \in [0, 2p_t-2]} (-1)^k \binom{2p_t - 1}{k} z^t_k$$

for any $t \in [1, \sigma]$. It follows that $g^{*2} : V \to V$ is unipotent (that is $g \in D_{au}$) with Jordan blocks of sizes $2p_1 - 1, 2p_2 - 1, \dots, 2p_\sigma - 1$.

For $r \in [1, \sigma]$ we set $v_r = g^{*2} z^r_{p_r-1}$. For $r \in [1, \sigma]$, $j \in [-2p_r + 2, 2p_r - 2]_{odd}$ we have $0 \leq p_r - 1 + (j - 1)/2 \leq 2p_r - 3$ hence $z'^t_{p_r-1+(j-1)/2}$ is defined and

$$(v_r, g^{*j} v_r) = (g^{*2} z^r_{p_r-1}, g^{*(j+2)} z^r_{p_r-1}) = (z^r_{p_r-1}, z'^t_{p_r-1+(j-1)/2}) = 0$$

(we use that $-p_r + 1 \leq (j - 1)/2 \leq p_r - 2$). For $r \in [1, \sigma]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(v_r, g^{*(2p_r-1)} v_r) &= (g^{*2} z^r_{p_r-1}, g^{*(2p_r-1+2)} z^r_{p_r-1}) \\ &= (z^r_{p_r-1}, g^{*(2p_r-1)} z^r_{p_r-1}) = (z^r_{p_r-1}, z'^r_{2p_r-2}) = \binom{2p_r - 2}{0} = 1.\end{aligned}$$

For $t, r \in [1, \sigma]$, $t \neq r$ and j odd we have

$$(v_r, g^{*j} v_t) = (g^{*2} z^r_{p_r-1}, g^{*(j+2)} z^t_{p_t-1}) = (g^{*((j-1)/2)} z^r_{p_r-1}, z'^t_{p_t-1}) = 0$$

since $g^{*((j-1)/2)} z^r_{p_r-1}$ is a linear combination of $z^r_0, z^r_1, \dots, z^r_{2p_r-2}$. Thus, if L_r is the line spanned by v_r, we have $(L_1, L_2, \dots, L_\sigma) \in \mathcal{S}_g$ (see 4.8). Using the isomorphism 4.9(a) we see that

(a) $$X_g \neq \emptyset$$

(notation of 4.6).

5.2. Let $g \in D_{au}$ be such that $X_g \ne \emptyset$ (see 4.6); let $(V_*, V'_*) \in X_g$. Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\sigma$ be as in 4.7 (with $u = \sigma$). For any $t \in [1, \sigma]$ let W_t be the subspace of V spanned by $(g^{*(-2p_r+2h)}v_r)_{r \in [0,t], h \in [0, 2p_r-2]}$ and let W'_t be the subspace of V spanned by $(g^{*(-2p_r+2h)}v_r)_{r \in [t+1,\sigma], h \in [0, 2p_r-2]}$. From 4.8(a) we see that

$$V = W_t \oplus W'_t.$$

We set $N = g^{*2} - 1 : V \to V$, a nilpotent linear map. Let $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \dots \ge n_u$ be the sizes of the Jordan blocks of N; we set $n_i = 0$ for $i > u$. For any $k \ge 0$ we set

$$\Lambda'_k = \sum_{r \in [1,\sigma]} \max(2p_r - 1 - k, 0).$$

We show:

(a) *For any $k \ge 1$ we have $\dim N^k V \ge \Lambda'_k$.*

Note that $\dim N^k V = \sum_{i \ge 1} \max(n_i - k, 0)$. Applying [L6, 3.1(b)] with $x_1, \dots, x_f$ given by $g^{*(-2p_1)}v_1, \dots, g^{*(-2p_\sigma)}v_\sigma$ and using 4.8(a) we see that for any $c \ge 1$ we have $(2p_1 - 1) + (2p_2 - 1) + \dots + (2p_c - 1) \le n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_c$. Hence for any $k \ge 1$ we have $\sum_{r \in [1,\sigma]} \max(2p_r - 1 - k, 0) \le \sum_{r \ge 1} \max(n_r - k, 0)$ and (a) follows.

We now assume that $k > 0$ and $d \in [1, \sigma]$ is such that $2p_d - 1 \ge k$ and (if $d < \sigma$) $k \le 2p_{d+1} - 1$. Then $\Lambda'_k = \sum_{r \in [1,d]}(2p_r - 1 - k)$. We show:

(b) *If $\dim N^k V = \Lambda'_k$ then W_d, W'_d are g^{*2}-stable, $gW'_d = W_d^\perp$, $g^{*2} : W_d \to W_d$ has exactly d Jordan blocks (each one has size $\ge k$) and $N^k W'_d = 0$.*

For $r \in [1, \sigma]$ let $v'_r = g^{*(-2p_r)}v_r$; then $(g^{*(2h)}v'_r)_{h \in [0, 2p_r-2]}$ is a basis of X_r hence $(N^h v'_r)_{h \in [0, 2p_r-2]}$ is a basis of X_r. For $r \in [1, d]$ let Y_r be the subspace spanned by $N^h v'_r (h \in [k, 2p_r - 2])$. Note that $Y_r \subset N^k X_r$. Hence $\oplus_{r \in [1,d]} Y_r \subset N^k W_d \subset N^k V$. We have $\dim \oplus_{r \in [1,d]} Y_r = \sum_{r \in [1,d]}(2p_r - 1 - k) = \Lambda'_k = \dim N^k V$. Hence $\oplus_{r \in [1,d]} Y_r = N^k W_d = N^k V$. We have $\oplus_{r \in [1,d]} Y_r \subset W_d$. Hence $N^k V \subset W_d$. We show that $NW_d \subset W_d$. Clearly N maps the basis elements $N^h v'_r$ $(r \in [1, d], h \in [0, 2p_r - 3])$ into W_d. So it is enough to show that N maps $N^{2p_r-2}v'_r$ $(r \in [1, d])$ into W_d. But $NN^{2p_r-2}v'_r = N^{2p_r-1}v'_r = N^k N^{2p_r-1-k}v'_r \subset N^k V \subset W_d$. Thus $NW_d \subset W_d$. Hence $g^{*2}W_d = W_d$ and $\check{g}^{*2}W_d^\perp = W_d^\perp$. For $r \in [d+1, \sigma]$ we have $g^{-1}v_r \in W_d^\perp$ by 1.7(iv). Since $\check{g}^{*2}W_d^\perp = W_d^\perp$ we have $g^{*j}v_r \in W_d^\perp$ for all odd j; hence $gX_r \subset W_d^\perp$. Thus, $gW'_d \subset W_d^\perp$. Since $\dim W'_d = n - \dim W_d = \dim W_d^\perp$, it follows that $gW'_d = W_d^\perp$. Since $\check{g}^{*2}W_d^\perp = W_d^\perp$ it follows that $g^{*2}W'_d = W'_d$. Let δ be the number of Jordan blocks of $N : W_d \to W_d$ that is, $\delta = \dim(\ker N : W_d \to W_d)$. We have $\dim W_d - \delta = \dim NW_d \ge \sum_{r \in [1,d]}(2p_r - 2) = \dim W_d - d$. (The inequality follows from [L6, 3.1(b)] applied to $N : W_d \to W_d$.) Hence $\delta \le d$. From the definition of δ we see that $\dim(\ker N^k : W_d \to W_d) \le \delta k$. Recall that $\dim N^k W_d = \sum_{r \in [1,d]}(2p_r - 1 - k) = \dim W_d - kd$. Hence $\dim(\ker N^k : W_d \to W_d) = \dim W_d - \dim N^k W_d = kd$. Hence $kd \le \delta k$. Since $k > 0$ we deduce $d \le \delta$. Hence $d = \delta$. Since $\dim(\ker N^k : W_d \to W_d) = kd$ we see that each of the d Jordan blocks of $N : W_d \to W_d$ has size $\geq k$. Since $N^kW = N^kV$ and $V = W_d \oplus W'_d$ we see that $N^kW'_d = 0$. Hence each Jordan block of $N : W'_d \to W'_d$ has size $\leq k$. This proves (b).

5.3. In this subsection we assume that g is as in 5.1. By 5.1(a) we can find $(V_*, V'_*) \in X_g$ so that the definitions and results in 4.2 are applicable. We show:

(a) *if* $t \in [1, \sigma]$ *then* $((g^{*2} - 1)^{p_t - 1}x, g(g^{*2} - 1)^{p_t-1}x) \neq 0$ *for some* $x \in \ker(g^{*2} - 1)^{2p_t-1}$.

With the notation of 4.1 we have $(g^{*2})^k(z^t_0) = z^t_k$ if $k \in [0, 2p_t - 2]$,

$$(g^{*2})^{2p_t-1}(z^t_0) = \sum_{e\in[0,2p_t-2]} (-1)^e \binom{2p_t - 1}{e} z^t_e$$

hence $(g^{*2} - 1)^{2p_t-1}(z^t_0) = 0$ and

$$(g^{*2} - 1)^{p_t-1}(z^t_0) = \sum_{e\in[0,p_t-1]} (-1)^{p_t-1-e} \binom{p_t - 1}{e} z^t_e.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&((g^{*2} - 1)^{p_t-1}z^t_0, g(g^{*2} - 1)^{p_t-1}z^t_0)\\
&= (\sum_{e\in[0,p_t-1]} (-1)^{p_t-1-e} \binom{p_t - 1}{e} z^t_e, \sum_{e\in[0,p_t-1]} (-1)^{p_t-1-e} \binom{p_t - 1}{e} z'^t_e)\\
&= (-1)^{p_t-1}(z^t_0, z'^t_{p_t-1}) = (-1)^{p_t-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (a).

5.4. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the set of sequences $c_* = (c_1 \geq c_2 \geq c_3 \geq \dots)$ in $\mathbf{N}$ such that $c_m = 0$ for $m \gg 0$ and $c_1+c_2+\dots = \mathbf{n}$. For $c_* \in \mathcal{T}$ we define $c^*_* = (c^*_1 \geq c^*_2 \geq c^*_3 \geq \dots) \in \mathcal{T}$ by $c^*_i = |\{j \geq 1; c_j \geq i\}|$ and we set $\mu_i(c_*) = |\{j \geq 1; c_j = i\}|$ $(i \geq 1)$; thus we have $\mu_i(c_*) = c^*_i - c^*_{i+1}$. For $i, j \geq 1$ we have

(a) $$i \leq c_j \text{ iff } j \leq c^*_i.$$

For $c_*, c'_* \in \mathcal{T}$ we say that $c_* \leq c'_*$ if the following (equivalent) conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\sum_{j\in[1,i]} c_j \leq \sum_{j\in[1,i]} c'_j$ for any $i \geq 1$;

(ii) $\sum_{j\in[1,i]} c^*_j \geq \sum_{j\in[1,i]} c'^*_j$ for any $i \geq 1$.

The following result is proved in [LX, 1.4(e)]

(b) *Let* $c_*, c'_* \in \mathcal{T}$ *and* $i \geq 1$ *be such that* $c_* \leq c'_*$, $\sum_{j\in[1,i]} c^*_j = \sum_{j\in[1,i]} c'^*_j$. *Then* $c^*_i \leq c'^*_i$. *If in addition* $\mu_i(c_*) > 0$, *then* $\mu_i(c'_*) > 0$.

5.5. Until the end of 5.10 we assume that $p=2$ so that G, D are as in 1.1(a),(b); then $g \in G^1$ is almost unipotent if and only if it is unipotent. Let $u \in G^1$ be unipotent. We associate to u the sequence $c_* \in \mathcal{T}$ whose nonzero terms are the sizes of the Jordan blocks of $u^{*2}: V \to V$. We must have $\mu_i(c_*)$ =even for any even i. We also associate to u a map $\epsilon_u : \{i \in 2\mathbf{N}+1; i \neq 0, \mu_i(c_*) > 0\} \to \{0,1\}$ as follows: $\epsilon_u(i) = 0$ if $((u^{*2}-1)^{(i-1)/2}x, u(u^{*2}-1)^{(i-1)/2}x) = 0$ for all $x \in \ker(u^{*2}-1)^i : V \to V$ and $\epsilon_u(i) = 1$ otherwise; we have automatically $\epsilon_u(i) = 1$ if $\mu_i(c_*)$ is odd. Now $u \mapsto (c_*, \epsilon_u)$ defines a bijection $\underline{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}$ where $\mathfrak{S}$ is the set consisting of all pairs (c_*, ϵ) where $c_* \in \mathcal{T}$ is such that $\mu_i(c_*)$ =even for any even i and $\epsilon : \{i \in 2\mathbf{N}+1; i \neq 0, \mu_i(c_*) > 0\} \to \{0,1\}$ is a function such that $\epsilon(i) = 1$ if $\mu_i(c_*)$ is odd. (See [Sp, I,2.7]). We denote by $\gamma_{c_*,\epsilon}$ the element of $\underline{D}$ corresponding to $(c_*, \epsilon) \in \mathfrak{S}$. For $(c_*, \epsilon) \in \mathfrak{S}$ it will be convenient to extend ϵ to a function $\mathbf{Z}_{>0} \to \{-1,0,1\}$ (denoted again by ϵ) by setting $\epsilon(i) = -1$ if i is even or $\mu_i(c_*) = 0$.

Now let $\gamma = \gamma_{c_*,\epsilon}, \gamma' = \gamma_{c'_*,\epsilon'}$ with $(c_*,\epsilon), (c'_*,\epsilon') \in \mathfrak{S}$. Assume that $c_* = (2p_1-1, 2p_2-1, \dots, 2p_\sigma-1, 0, 0, \dots)$ and that ϵ is such that $\epsilon(2p_i-1) = 1$ for $i \in [1,\sigma]$. Assume that for some/any $g \in \gamma'$ we have $X_g \neq \emptyset$. We will show that

(a) *γ is contained in the closure of γ'.*

The proof of (a) (given in 5.6-5.9) is almost a copy of the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [LX].

5.6. It is enough to show that

(a) $c_* \le c'_*$

and that for any $i \ge 1$, (b),(c) below hold:

(b) $\sum_{j\in[1,i]} c^*_j - \max(\epsilon(i),0) \ge \sum_{j\in[1,i]} c'^*_j - \max(\epsilon'(i),0)$;

(c) if $\sum_{j\in[1,i]} c^*_j = \sum_{j\in[1,i]} c'^*_j$ and $c^*_{i+1} - c'^*_{i+1}$ is odd then $\epsilon'(i) \neq 0$.

(See [Sp, II,8.2].)

Let $g \in \gamma'$. We choose $(V_*, V'_*) \in X_g$. Then the notation and results in 5.2 are valid for g. From 5.2(a) we see that (a) holds. Note also that, by [LX, 1.4(d)], for $i \ge 1$,

(d) *we have* $\sum_{j\in[1,i]} c^*_j = \sum_{j\in[1,i]} c'^*_j$ *iff* $\dim N^i V = \Lambda'_i$.

5.7. Let $i \ge 1$. We show (compare [LX, 1.6]):

(a) *If* $\mu_i(c_*) > 0$ *and* $\sum_{j\in[1,i]} c^*_j = \sum_{j\in[1,i]} c'^*_j$ *then* $\epsilon'(i) = 1$.

By 5.4(b) we have $\mu_i(c'_*) > 0$. Since $\mu_i(c_*) > 0$ we see that $i = 2p_d - 1$ for some $d \in [1,\sigma]$. If $\mu_i(c'_*)$ is odd then $\epsilon'(i) = 1$ (by definition, since i is odd). Thus we may assume that $\mu_i(c'_*) \in \{2,4,6,\dots\}$. From our assumption we have that $\dim N^i V = \Lambda'_i$ (see 5.6(d)).

Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\sigma$ be vectors in V attached to V_*, V'_*, g as in 4.7. For $r \in [1,\sigma]$ let W_r, W'_r be as in 5.2; we set $W_0 = 0$, $W'_0 = V$. From 5.2(b) we see that $N^i W'_{d-1} = 0$ at least if $d \ge 2$; but the same clearly holds if $d = 1$. We have $g^{*(-2p_d)} v_d \in W'_{d-1}$; since W'_{d-1} is g^{*2}-stable (see 5.2) we have $v_d \in W'_{d-1}$ hence $N^{2p_d-1} v_d = 0$ (see

5.2) and

$$\begin{aligned}&(N^{p_d-1}v_d, gN^{p_d-1}v_d)\\&=\sum_{e,e'\in[0,p_d-1]}\binom{p_d-1}{e}\binom{p_d-1}{e'}(g^{*(2e)}v_d, g^{*(2e'+1)}v_d)\\&=(v_d, g^{*(2p_d-1)}v_d)=1.\end{aligned}$$

(We have used that $(v_d, g^{*j}v_d)=0$ if $j\in[-2p_d+2, 2p_d-2]_{odd}$ and $(v_d, g^{*(2p_d-1)}v_d)=1$.) Thus $\epsilon'(i)=1$. This proves (a).

5.8. We prove 5.6(b). It is enough to show that, if $\epsilon(i)=1$ and $\epsilon'(i)\le 0$ then $\sum_{j\in[1,i]}c^*_j\ge\sum_{j\in[1,i]}c'^*_j+1$. Assume this is not so. Then using 5.6(a) we have $\sum_{j\in[1,i]}c^*_j=\sum_{j\in[1,i]}c'^*_j$. Since $\epsilon(i)=1$ we have $\mu_i(c_*)>0$; using 5.7(a) we see that $\epsilon'(i)=1$, a contradiction. Thus 5.6(b) holds.

5.9. We prove 5.6(c). If i is even then $\epsilon'(i)=-1$, as required. Thus we may assume that i is odd. Using 5.6(a) and 5.4(b) we see that $c^*_i\le c'^*_i$. Assume first that $c^*_i=c'^*_i$. From $\mu_i(c_*)=c^*_i-c^*_{i+1}$, $\mu_i(c'_*)=c'^*_i-c'^*_{i+1}$ we deduce that $\mu_i(c_*)-\mu_i(c'_*)=c'^*_{i+1}-c^*_{i+1}$ is odd. If $\mu_i(c'_*)$ is odd we have $\epsilon'(i)=1$ (since i is even); thus we have $\epsilon'(i)\ne 0$, as required. If $\mu_i(c'_*)=0$ we have $\epsilon'(i)=-1$; thus we have $\epsilon'(i)\ne 0$, as required. If $\mu_i(c'_*)\in\{2,4,6,\dots\}$ then $\mu_i(c_*)$ is odd so that $\mu_i(c_*)>0$ and then 5.7(a) shows that $\epsilon'(i)=1$; thus we have $\epsilon'(i)\ne 0$, as required.

Assume next that $c^*_i<c'^*_i$. By 5.6(a) we have $\sum_{j\in[1,i+1]}c^*_j\ge\sum_{j\in[1,i+1]}c'^*_j$; using the assumption of 5.6(c) we deduce that $c^*_{i+1}\ge c'^*_{i+1}$. Combining this with $c^*_i<c'^*_i$ we deduce $c^*_i-c^*_{i+1}<c'^*_i-c'^*_{i+1}$ that is, $\mu_i(c_*)<\mu_i(c'_*)$. It follows that $\mu_i(c'_*)>0$. If $\mu_i(c_*)>0$ then by 5.7(a) we have $\epsilon'(i)=1$; thus we have $\epsilon'(i)\ne 0$, as required. Thus we can assume that $\mu_i(c_*)=0$. We then have $c^*_i=c^*_{i+1}$ and we set $\delta=c^*_i=c^*_{i+1}$. As we have seen earlier, we have $c^*_{i+1}\ge c'^*_{i+1}$; using this and the assumption of 4.6(c) we see that $c^*_{i+1}-c'^*_{i+1}=2a+1$ where $a\in\mathbf{N}$. It follows that $c'^*_{i+1}=\delta-(2a+1)$. In particular we have $\delta\ge 2a+1>0$.

If $k\in[0,2a]$ we have $c'_{\delta-k}=i$. (Indeed, assume that $i+1\le c'_{\delta-k}$; then by 5.4(a) we have $\delta-k\le c'^*_{i+1}=\delta-(2a+1)$ hence $k\ge 2a+1$, a contradiction. Thus $c'_{\delta-k}\le i$. On the other hand, $\delta=c^*_i<c'^*_i$ implies by 5.4(a) that $i\le c'_\delta$. Thus $c'_{\delta-k}\le i\le c'_\delta\le c'_{\delta-k}$ hence $c'_{\delta-k}=i$.)

Using 5.4(a) and $c'^*_{i+1}=\delta-(2a+1)$ we see that $c'_{\delta-(2a+1)}\ge i+1$ (assuming that $\delta-(2a+1)>0$). Thus the sequence $c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_\delta$ contains exactly $2a+1$ terms equal to i, namely $c'_{\delta-2a}, \dots, c'_{\delta-1}, c'_\delta$.

We have $i>c_{\delta+1}$. (If $i\le c_{\delta+1}$ then from 5.4(a) we would get $\delta+1\le c^*_i=\delta$, a contradiction.)

Since $\delta>0$, from $c^*_i=\delta$ we deduce that $i\le c_\delta$ (see 5.4(a)); since $\mu_i(c_*)=0$ we have $c_\delta\ne i$ hence $c_\delta>i$. From the assumption of 5.6(c) we see that $\dim N^iV=\Lambda'_i$ (see 5.6(d)). Using this and $c_\delta>i>c_{\delta+1}$ we see that 5.2(b) is applicable and gives that $V = W_\delta \oplus W'_\delta$, W_δ, W'_δ are g^{*2}-stable and $gW'_\delta = W_\delta^\perp$; moreover, $g^{*2} : W_\delta \to W_\delta$ has exactly δ Jordan blocks and each one has size $\ge i$ and $g^{*2} : W'_\delta \to W'_\delta$ has only Jordan blocks of size $\le i$. Since the δ largest numbers in the sequence $c'_1, c'_2, \dots$ are $c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_\delta$ we see that the sizes of the Jordan blocks of $g^{*2} : W_\delta \to W_\delta$ are $c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_\delta$. Since the last sequence contains an odd number ($= 2a+1$) of terms equal to i we see that $\epsilon_{g|_{W_\delta}}(i) = 1$. (We explain the meaning of the last equation. The dual space W_δ^* of W_δ can be identified canonically with $V^*/W_\delta^\perp$ which can be identified canonically with gW_δ since $V^* = gW_\delta \oplus gW'_\delta = gW_\delta \oplus W_\delta^*$. Hence $x \mapsto gx$ can be viewed as an isomorphism $W_\delta \to W_\delta^*$, denoted by $g|_{W_\delta}$. Note that $(g|_{W_\delta})^{*2}$ is equal to the restriction of g^{*2} to W_δ hence is unipotent hence $g|_{W_\delta}$ is unipotent and its invariant $\epsilon_{g|_{W_\delta}}(i)$ is defined.) Hence there exists $z \in W_\delta$ such that $(g^{*2}-1)^i z = 0$ and $((g^{*2}-1)^{(i-1)/2}z, g(g^{*2}-1)^{(i-1)/2}z) = 1$. This shows that $\epsilon_g(i) = 1$ that is $\epsilon'(i) = 1$. This completes the proof of 5.6(c) and also completes the proof of 5.5(a).

From 5.5(a) (which is applicable in view of 5.3(a)) we see that 1.3(a) holds for any $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}^{wl}$. Moreover we see that 1.3(b), 1.3(d) hold for G, D (we use the description of distinguished unipotent classes of D given in [Sp]). This completes the verification of 1.4 for our G, D.

5.10. We now prove Theorem 1.14 for our G, D. (Recall that $p = 2$). We can assume that $w = z_{p_*}$ with $p_* = (p_1 \ge p_2 \ge \dots \ge p_\sigma)$ as in 4.4; thus $2(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_\sigma) = n + \sigma$. We then have

$$\underline{l}(w) = p_1 + 3p_2 + \dots + (2\sigma - 1)p_\sigma - (\sigma^2 - \sigma)/2.$$

Since g^{*2} has Jordan blocks of sizes $2p_1 - 1, 2p_2 - 1, \dots, 2p_\sigma - 1$, we see from [Sp, p.96] and 5.3(a) that

$$d := \dim(Z(g)) = \sum_{h \ge 1} f_h^2/2 - \sum_{h \ge 1,\ \text{odd}} f_h + n/2$$

where $f_1 \ge f_2 \ge \dots$ is the partition dual to $2p_1 - 1, \dots, 2p_\sigma - 1$; thus, for $j \ge 1$ we have $f_j = \sharp(i \in [1,\sigma]; 2p_i - 1 \ge j)$. Note also that $\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^D = \{1\}$. We must show that $\underline{l}(w) = d$. We can find integers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t$ (all ≥ 1) such that $b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_t = \sigma$, $2p_i - 1 = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_t$ for $i \in [1, b_1]$, $2p_i - 1 = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{t-1}$ for $i \in [b_1 + 1, b_1 + b_2]$, $\dots$, $2p_i - 1 = a_1$ for $i \in [b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_{t-1} + 1, b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_t]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\underline{l}(w) &= (a_1 + \dots + a_t + 1)b_1^2 + (a_1 + \dots + a_{t-1} + 1)((b_1 + b_2)^2 - b_1^2) + \dots \\
&+ (a_1 + 1)((b_1 + \dots + b_t)^2 - (b_1 + \dots + b_{t-1})^2) - (\sigma^2 + \sigma) \\
&= a_1(b_1 + \dots + b_t)^2 + a_2(b_1 + \dots + b_{t-1})^2 + \dots + a_t b_1^2 - \sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}2d &= a_1(b_1+\dots+b_t)^2+a_2(b_1+\dots+b_{t-1})^2+\dots+a_tb_1^2\\ &-(a_1+1)(b_1+\dots+b_t)-a_2(b_1+\dots+b_{t-1})-\dots-a_tb_1+2(n/2).\end{aligned}$$

To show that $d=\underline{l}(w)$, we must show:

$$\begin{aligned}&a_1(b_1+\dots+b_t)^2+a_2(b_1+\dots+b_{t-1})^2+\dots+a_tb_1^2-\sigma\\ &=a_1(b_1+\dots+b_t)^2+a_2(b_1+\dots+b_{t-1})^2+\dots+a_tb_1^2\\ &-(a_1+1)(b_1+\dots+b_t)-a_2(b_1+\dots+b_{t-1})-\dots-a_tb_1+n.\end{aligned}$$

or that

$$n=a_1(b_1+\dots+b_t)+a_2(b_1+\dots+b_{t-1})+\dots+a_tb_1.$$

But this is just another way to write the identity $n=(2p_1-1)+(2p_2-1)+\dots+(2p_\sigma-1)$. This completes the proof.

5.11. In this subsection we assume that $p\ne 2$. Let $D_*=\{s\in D; s^{*2}=1\}$. Now D_* is in bijection with the set of nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms on V with values in $\mathbf{k}$: to $s\in D_*$ corresponds the symmetric bilinear form $\underline{s}$ given by $x,x'\mapsto s(x)(x')=s(x')(x)$. Note that for $g\in D$ we have $g\in D_{au}$ if and only if $g=su$ where $s\in D_*$ and u is a unipotent element in G^0 commuting with s or, equivalently, u is a unipotent element of the orthogonal group $O_{\underline{s}}$. It follows that the set $\underline{D_{au}}$ of G^0-conjugacy classes in D_{au} is in bijection with the set of partitions of n such that each even part appears an even number of times: to $\gamma\in\underline{D_{au}}$ corresponds the partition given by the sizes of the Jordan blocks of $g^{*2}:V\to V$ for some/any $g\in\gamma$. We will define a canonical map $\Phi:\underline{\mathbf{W}}\to\underline{D_{au}}$ using the same principle as that used in Theorem 1.3. For $w\in\mathbf{W},\gamma\in\underline{D_{au}}$ we write $w\dashv_D\gamma$ if for some/any $g\in\gamma$ and some $B\in\mathcal{B}$ we have $(B,gBg^{-1})\in\mathcal{O}_w$. For $w\in\mathbf{W}$ we set $\Sigma'_{w,D}=\{\gamma\in\underline{D_{au}}; w\dashv_D\gamma\}$; we regard $\Sigma'_{w,D}$ as a partially ordered set where $\gamma\le\gamma'$ if $\gamma\subset\bar\gamma'$ (closure of γ' in D). Using arguments as in the proof of 1.2(a) we see that if w,w' are elements of $\mathbf{W}_{D-min}$ which are ϵ_D-conjugate, then $\Sigma'_{w,D}=\Sigma'_{w',D}$. Hence for any $C\in\underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$ we can define $\Sigma'_{C,D}=\Sigma'_{w,D}$ where w is any element of C_{min}. We have the following result.

(a) *Let $C\in\underline{\mathbf{W}}_D^{el}$. There exists (a necesarily unique) $\gamma\in\Sigma'_{C,D}$ such that $\gamma\le\gamma'$ for all $\gamma'\in\Sigma'_{C,D}$. We set $\gamma=\Phi'(C)$.*

We can assume that C contains z_{p_*} (as in 4.4) so that $\Sigma'_{C,D}=\Sigma'_{z_{p_*},D}$. Then the result follows from 5.1 and 5.2(a). Note that for C as above, $\Phi'(C)$ is the G^0-orbit in D_{au} corresponding to the partition $2p_1-1,2p_2-1,\dots,2p_\sigma-1$ of n. We note also that the analogues of 1.3(b),(d) clearly hold in our case. Namely, if C,C' are elements of $\underline{\mathbf{W}}_D^{el}$ and $\Phi'(C)=\Phi'(C')$, then $C=C'$; moreover if $\gamma\in\underline{D_{au}}$ is distinguished (in the sense that the centralizer in G^0 of an element of γ contains no torus $\ne 1$ then $\gamma=\Phi'(C)$ for some $C\in\underline{\mathbf{W}}_D^{el}$. Also if $C\in\underline{\mathbf{W}}_D^{el}$, $w\in C_{min}$ and

$\gamma = \Phi'(C)$ then for any $g \in \gamma$ we have $\dim(Z_G(g)) = \underline{l}(w)$ (compare 1.14); the proof is the same as that in 5.10. Using arguments similar to those in the proof of 1.4(a) we see that (a) holds in the same form for any $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$ (not necessarily elliptic). Thus, $\Phi' : \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D \to \underline{D_{au}}$ is well defined and surjective.

We now give a combinatorial description of the map $\Phi' : \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D >>> \underline{D_{au}}$. We identify $\underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$ with the set of partitions of n (to the ϵ_D-conjugacy class of $w \in \mathbf{W}$ corresponds the partition which gives the lengths of the cycles of the permutation $w\mathbf{w}$ of $[1, n]$, $\mathbf{w}$ as in 4.3). We identify $\underline{D_{au}}$ with the set of partitions of n in which any even part appears an even number of times (to the $GL(V)$-conjugacy class of $g \in D_{au}$ we associate the partition which gives the sizes of the Jordan blocks of g^{*2}). Then $\Phi'(\lambda) = \zeta$ where each odd part $2a + 1$ of λ gives a part of size $2a + 1$ of ζ and each even part $2a$ of λ gives two parts of size a, a of ζ. For example if $\lambda = (5, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1)$ then $\Phi'(\lambda) = (5, 3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$.

From this combinatorial description we see that we have the following analogue of Theorem 1.16.

For any $\gamma \in \underline{D_{au}}$ *the function* $\Phi'^{-1}(\gamma) \to \mathbf{N}$, $C \mapsto \mu(C)$ *(*μ *defined as in the proof of 1.4(a)) reaches its minimum at a unique element* $C_0 \in \Phi'^{-1}(\gamma)$*. Thus we have a well defined map* $\Psi' : \underline{D_{au}} \to \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$, $\gamma \mapsto C_0$ *such that* $\Phi'\Psi' : \underline{D_{au}} \to \underline{D_{au}}$ *is the identity map.*

5.12. In this subsection p is arbitrary. We fix a sequence $p_* = (p_1 \ge p_2 \ge \dots \ge p_\sigma)$ in $\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that $n = (2p_1 - 1) + \dots + (2p_\sigma - 1)$ and $(V_*, V'_*) \in \mathcal{O}_w$ where $w = z_{p_*}$. Let $g \in G^1$ be such that $g \cdot V_* = V'_*$ and such that $N := g^{*2} - 1 : V \to V$ is nilpotent with Jordan blocks of sizes $2p_1 - 1, 2p_2 - 1, \dots, 2p_\sigma - 1$. Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\sigma$ be the sequence of vectors associated to g in 4.7 (with $u = \sigma$); these vectors are defined up to multiplication by ± 1. For $t \in [1, \sigma]$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ we set $z^t_i = g^{*(2i - 2p_t)} v_t$ and $z'^t_i = g z^t_i$. From the definitions we see that

(a) $z'^t_i = g z^t_i$, $z^t_{i+1} = \breve{g} z'^t_i$ for all t, i;

(b) $(z^t_i, z'^t_j) = 0$ if $j - i \in [-p_t + 1, p_t - 2]$, $(z^t_i, z'^t_j) = 1$ if $j - i \in \{-p_t, p_t - 1\}$ ($t \in [1, \sigma]$, $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$).

Moreover, by the argument in 4.8(a) we have that $\{z^t_i; t \in [1, \sigma], i \in [0, 2p_t - 2]\}$ is a basis of V. For any $t \in [1, \sigma]$ let X_t be the subspace of V spanned by $\{z^t_i; i \in [0, 2p_t - 2]\}$ so that $V = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots X_\sigma$. Note that for any $k \ge 0$ we have $\dim N^k(V) = \sum_{r \in [1, \sigma]} \max(2p_r - 1 - k, 0)$. Applying 5.2(b) with $k = 2p_d - 1$ for $d = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma$ we see that each of the subspaces

$$X_1 \subset X_1 \oplus X_2 \subset \dots \subset X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_\sigma,$$

$$X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_{\sigma+1} \supset \dots \supset X_\sigma \oplus X_{\sigma+1} \supset X_{\sigma+1}$$

of V is g^{*2}-stable. Taking intersections we see that each of the subspaces $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_\sigma$ of V is g^{*2}-stable. From 5.2(b) we see also that

$$(X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_e, g(X_{e+1} \oplus X_{e+2} \oplus \dots \oplus X_\sigma)) = 0$$

for any $e \in [1,\sigma]$ hence (X_t, gX_r) for $t < r$ in $[1,\sigma]$. Using this and 4.1(a) we see that if $t < r$ then $(X_r, gX_t) = (X_t, g^{*(-1)}X_r) = (X_t, gX_r) = 0$. (We have use that X_r is g^{*2}-stable.) Thus $(X_r, gX_t) = 0$ for any $t \ne r$ in $[1,\sigma]$. We see that

(c) $(z_i^r, {z'}_j^t) = 0$ if $t \ne r$, $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$.

We now show for any $r \in [1,\sigma]$ that

(d) $(z_i^r, {z'}_j^r) = \binom{j-i+p_r-1}{j-i-p_r+1}$ if $j - i \ge p_r - 1$,

(e) $(z_i^r, {z'}_j^r) = \binom{i-j+p_r-2}{i-j-p_r}$ if $j - i \le -p_r$.

We first prove (d). When $j-i = p_r - 1$, (d) holds by (b). Now assume that $j - i = p_r - 1 + s$ where $s > 0$ and that (d) is known when $j - i = p_r - 1 + s'$, $0 \le s' < s$. Since g^{*2} restricts to a unipotent automorphism of X_r and $\dim X_r = 2p_r - 1$, we see that N^{2p_r-1} acts as 0 on X_r, so that $(g^{*2} - 1)^{2p_r-1} z_0^r = 0$. Since $g^{*2} z_0^r = z_1^r$, $g^{*2} z_1^r = z_2^r$, …, $g^{*2} z_{2p_r-2}^r = z_{2p_r-1}^t$, it follows that

$$\sum_{k\in[0,2p_r-1]} (-1)^k \binom{2p_r-1}{k} z_k^r = 0.$$

$$\sum_{k\in[0,2p_r-1]} (-1)^k \binom{2p_r-1}{k} (z_k^r, {z'}^r_{p_r-1+s}) = 0.$$

Using (b) and the induction hypothesis we deduce

$$\sum_{k\in[1,2p_r-1]} (-1)^k \binom{2p_r-1}{k} \binom{p_r-1+s-k+p_r-1}{s-k} + (z_0^r, {z'}^r_{p_r-1+s}) = 0.$$

It is then enough to show that

$$\sum_{k\in[0,2p_r-1]} (-1)^k \binom{2p_r-1}{k} \binom{p_r-1+s-k+p_r-1}{s-k} = 0$$

for $s > 0$ or setting $m = s - k$ that

$$\sum_{s\ge 0} \sum_{k\in[0,2p_r-1];m\ge 0;k+m=s} (-1)^k \binom{2p_r-1}{k} \binom{2p_r+m-2}{m} T^s = 1$$

where T is an indeterminate. An equivalent statement is

$$(\sum_{k\in[0,2p_r-1]} (-1)^k \binom{2p_r-1}{k} T^k)(\sum_{m\ge 0} \binom{2p_r+m-2}{m} T^m) = 1.$$

This folows from the identity $\sum_{m\ge 0} \binom{M+m-1}{m} T^m = (1-T)^{-M}$ (for $M \ge 1$) which is easily verified. This proves (d).

We now prove (e). Assume that $j-i \le -p_r$. Using 4.1(a), we have

$$(z^r_i, z'^r_j) = (z^r_i, gz^r_j) = (z^r_j, g^{*(-1)} z^r_i) = (z^r_j, gz^r_{i-1}) = (z^r_j, z'^r_{i-1}).$$

Note that $i-1-j \ge p_r - 1$ hence using (d) we have

$$(z^r_j, z'^r_{i-1}) = \binom{i-1-j+p_r-1}{i-1-j-p_r+1}$$

and (e) follows.

Now let $\tilde g \in G^1$ be another element such that $\tilde g \cdot V_* = V'_*$ and such that $\tilde N := \tilde g^{*2} - 1 : V \to V$ is nilpotent with Jordan blocks of sizes $2p_1-1, 2p_2-1, \dots, 2p_\sigma - 1$. We associate to $\tilde g$ vectors $\tilde z^t_i \in V, \tilde z'^t_i \in V^*$ in the same way as $z^t_i \in V, z'^t_i \in V^*$ were associated to g. From (a),(b),(c),(d),(e) for g and $\tilde g$ we see that

$z'^t_i = gz^t_i, z^t_{i+1} = \check g z'^t_i, \tilde z'^t_i = \tilde g \tilde z^t_i, \tilde z^t_{i+1} = \check{\tilde g} \tilde z'^t_i$ for all t, i;
$(z^t_i, z'^t_j) = (\tilde z^t_i, \tilde z'^t_j) = 0$ if $j-i \in [-p_t+1, p_t-2]$;
$(z^t_i, z'^t_j) = (\tilde z^t_i, \tilde z'^t_j) = \binom{j-i+p_t-1}{j-i-p_t+1}$ if $j-i \ge p_t - 1$;
$(z^t_i, z'^t_j) = (\tilde z^t_i, \tilde z'^t_j) = \binom{i-j+p_t-2}{i-j-p_t}$ if $j-i \le -p_t$;
$(z^r_i, z'^t_j) = (\tilde z^r_i, \tilde z'^t_j) = 0$ if $t \ne r$, $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Since $\{z^t_i; t \in [1,\sigma], i \in [0, 2p_t-2]\}$ and $\{\tilde z^t_i; t \in [1,\sigma], i \in [0, 2p_t-2]\}$ are bases of V there is a unique isomorphism of vector spaces $T : V \to V$ such that $\tilde z^t_i = T(z^t_i)$ for all $t \in [1,\sigma], i \in [0, 2p_t-1]$. The formulas above show that $(z^r_i, gz^t_j) = (T(z^r_i), \tilde g T(z^t_j))$ (that is, $(z^r_i, gz^t_j) = (z^r_i, \check T^{-1} \tilde g T(z^t_j))$) for any r, t in $[1,\sigma]$ and any $i \in [0, 2p_t-2], j \in [0, 2p_r-2]$. It follows that $g = \check T^{-1} \tilde g T$.

From the definitions, for any $r \in [1,\sigma], i \in [1, p_r]$, the subspace $V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i}$ is generated by z^t_h ($t < r$, $h \in [0, p_h - 1]$) and by $z^r_h (h \in [0, i-1])$; similarly, the subspace $V_{p_1+\dots+p_{r-1}+i}$ is generated by $\tilde z^t_h$ ($t < r$, $h \in [0, p_h-1]$) and by $\tilde z^r_h (h \in [0, i-1])$. Also, for any $r \in [1,\sigma]$ and any $i \in [1, p_r - 1]$, the subspace $V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i}$ is generated by z^t_h ($t < r, h \in [1, p_h - 1]$) and by z^r_h ($h \in [1, i]$); similarly, the subspace $V'_{(p_1-1)+\dots+(p_{r-1}-1)+i}$ is generated by $\tilde z^t_h$ ($t < r$, $h \in [1, p_h - 1]$) and by $\tilde z^r_h (h \in [1, i])$. It follows that $TV_u = V_u$ for $u \in [0, p_1+\dots+p_\sigma]$ and $TV'_u = V'_u$ for $u \in [1, p_1+\dots+p_\sigma - \sigma]$. For any $i \in [0, n]$ we have

$$V'_i = (gV_{n-i})^\perp = (\tilde g V_{n-i})^\perp = (\check T g T^{-1} V_{n-i})^\perp = T((gT^{-1}V_{n-i})^\perp).$$

For $u \in [p_1+\dots+p_\sigma - \sigma, n-1]$ we have $n-u \in [1, p_1+\dots+p_\sigma]$ hence $T^{-1}V_{n-u} = V_{n-u}$ and $V'_u = T((gV_{n-u})^\perp) = TV'_u$. Thus $TV'_i = V'_i$ for all $i \in [0, n]$. For $u \in [p_1+\dots+p_\sigma, n-1]$ we have

$$gV_u = \tilde g V_u = \check{(}T) g T^{-1} V_u = (V'_{n-u})^\perp = (TV'_{n-u})^\perp = \check T((V'_{n-u})^\perp) = \check T g V_u$$

hence $T^{-1}V_u = V_u$. Thus $TV_i = V_i$ for all $i \in [0, n]$. Thus we have the following result (where γ denotes the set of all $g \in D_{au}$ such that the Jordan blocks of $g^{*2} - 1 : V \to V$ have sizes $2p_1-1, 2p_2-1, \dots, 2p_\sigma - 1$):

(f) *The $GL(V)$-action $x : (g, B) \mapsto (xgx^{-1}, xBx^{-1})$ on $\{(g,B) \in \gamma \times \mathcal{B}; (B, gBg^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w\}$ is transitive.*

In the special case where $p = 2$ this proves Theorem 1.15 for our G, D.

6. Finite unitary groups

6.1. In this section we preserve the setup of 4.1 and we assume that $q > 1$. We fix $g \in G^1$. Then $\phi := g^{*2} = \check{g}g : V \to V$ (resp. $\phi' := g\check{g} : V^* \to V^*$) is the Frobenius map for a rational structure on V (resp. V^*) over the finite subfield F_{q^2} with q^2 elements of $\mathbf{k}$. Note that $V^\phi := \{x \in V; \phi(x) = x\}$, $V^{*\phi'} := \{\xi \in V^*; \phi'(\xi) = \xi\}$ are F_{q^2}-vector spaces of dimension n such that $V = \mathbf{k} \otimes_{F_{q^2}} V^\phi$, $V^* = \mathbf{k} \otimes_{F_{q^2}} V^{*\phi'}$ and that g restricts to an F_q-linear isomorphism $V^\phi \to V^{*\phi'}$. For $x, x' \in V$ we set $\langle x, x'\rangle = (x, gx')$. From 4.1(a) we deduce $\langle \phi(x), x'\rangle = \langle x', x\rangle^q$ for any $x, x' \in \mathbf{k}$. In particular, if $x, x' \in V^\phi$ we have

$$\langle x, x'\rangle = \langle x', x\rangle^q. \tag{a}$$

Applying (a) twice we see that $\langle x, x'\rangle = \langle x, x'\rangle^{q^2}$ for any $x, x' \in V^\phi$. Thus $x, x' \mapsto \langle x, x'\rangle$ is a (nondegenerate) hermitian form $V^\phi \times V^\phi \to F_{q^2}$. It follows that we can find a basis $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ of V such that $\phi(e_i) = e_i$ for $i \in [1, n]$ and $(e_i, ge_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j \in [1, n]$.

We define a group isomorphism $\psi : G^0 \to G^0$ by $x \mapsto g * x * g^{*(-1)} = \check{g}\check{x}\check{g}^{-1}$. We express ψ in coordinates. Let $e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_n$ be the basis of V^* such that $(e_i, e'_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j \in [1, n]$. Note that $e'_i = ge_i$ for all i. Let $x \in G^0$. We have $xe_i = \sum_j x_{ij}e_j$, $x_{ij} \in \mathbf{k}$. Let (x'_{ij}) be the matrix which is transpose inverse to (x_{ij}). Then $\psi(x)(e_i) = \sum_j x'_{ij}{}^q e_j$ for all i. We see that ψ is the Frobenius map for an F_q-rational structure on G^0. As pointed out in 4.2 for any $V_* \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $B_{g \cdot V_*} = g * B_{V_*} * g^{*(-1)} = \psi(B_{V_*})$. Hence, if $p_*, w = z_{p_*}$ are as in 4.4, the condition that $(V_*, g \cdot V_*) \in \mathcal{O}_w$ is equivalent to the condition that $B_{V_*} \in \mathbf{X}_{z_{p_*}}$ where $\mathbf{X}_{z_{p_*}}$ is the variety of all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B, \psi(B)$ are in relative position w (see [DL]). Thus $(V_*, g \cdot V_*) \mapsto B_{V_*}$ is an isomorphism $X_g \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{X}_{z_{p_*}}$. Composing with 4.9(a) we deduce that $\mathbf{X}_{z_{p_*}}$ is isomorphic to the variety consisting of all sequences $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_\sigma$ of lines in V such that for any $r \in [1, \sigma]$ we have

$\langle L_r, \phi^h L_t\rangle = 0$ if $t \in [1, \sigma]$, $t < r$, $h \in [-p_t, p_t - 2]$;
$\langle L_r, \phi^h L_r\rangle = 0$ if $h \in [-p_r + 1, p_r - 2]$;
$\langle L_r, \phi^{p_r - 1} L_r\rangle \neq 0$.

Thus $\mathbf{X}_{z_{p_*}}$ can be viewed as the quotient of the variety consisting of all sequences $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\sigma$ of vectors in V such that for any $r \in [1, \sigma]$ we have

$\langle v_r, \phi^h v_t\rangle = 0$ if $t \in [1, \sigma]$, $t < r$, $h \in [-p_t, p_t - 2]$;
$\langle v_r, \phi^h v_r\rangle = 0$ if $h \in [-p_r + 1, p_r - 2]$;
$\langle v_r, \phi^{p_r - 1} v_r\rangle = 1$,

(a model of the variety $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{z_{p_*}}$ of [DL]) by the obvious (free) action of the group

$$\{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\sigma) \in \mathbf{k}^{*\sigma}; \lambda_r^{q^{2p_r - 1} + 1} = 1 \text{ for all } r\}.$$

Hence this description provides a new proof of the affineness of $\mathbf{X}_{z_{p_*}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{z_{p_*}}$ (the first proof is given in [H2]; another proof is given in [HL]).

7. Final remarks

7.1. In this section we assume that G is as in 1.1(a). Let $\bar{G}_p$ be the set of unipotent elements of $\bar{G} := G/G^0$. For any $D \in \bar{G}_p$ we will write $\Phi_D : \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D \to \underline{D}$ for the map denoted by Φ in 1.3(a) (relative to D). If $\alpha : G \to G$ is an automorphism of algebraic groups and $D \in \bar{G}_p$ then α induce naturally bijections $\alpha_* : \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D \to \underline{\mathbf{W}}_{\alpha(D)}$, $\alpha_* : \underline{D} \to \underline{\alpha(D)}$ and from the definitions we have

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \alpha_*(\Phi_D((C)) = \Phi_{\alpha(D)}(\alpha_*(C))$$

for any $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}_D$. We consider the semidirect product $\bar{G}\mathbf{W}$ in which for $D \in \bar{G}$ and $w \in \mathbf{W}$ we have $DwD^{-1} = \epsilon_D(w)$. Let $c_p(\bar{G})$ be the set of conjugacy classes in $\bar{G}\mathbf{W}$ of elements of the form Dw, $D \in \bar{G}_p, w \in \mathbf{W}$. Let $\underline{G}$ be the set of unipotent G-conjugacy classes in G. Let $D \in \bar{G}_p, w \in \mathbf{W}$. Let C be the ϵ_D-conjugacy class of w. Let γ_{Dw} be the unipotent G-conjugacy class of G that contains $\Phi_D(C)$. We show that for $D' \in \bar{G}$, $w' \in \mathbf{W}$ we have

$$\text{(b)} \qquad \gamma_{D'w'Dw(D'w')^{-1}} = \gamma_{Dw}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&D'w'Dw(D'w')^{-1} \\
&= D'D\epsilon_D^{-1}(w')ww'^{-1}D'^{-1} = D'DD'^{-1}\epsilon_{D'}(\epsilon_D^{-1}(w'))\epsilon_{D'}(w)\epsilon_{D'}(w'^{-1}) \\
&= D'DD'^{-1}(\epsilon_{D'}\epsilon_D^{-1}\epsilon_{D'^{-1}}(\epsilon_{D'}(w')))\epsilon_{D'}(w)\epsilon_{D'}(w')^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $D'w'Dw(D'w')^{-1}$ is $\epsilon_{D'DD'}$-conjugate to $\epsilon_{D'}(w)$. Let C' be the $\epsilon_{D'DD'^{-1}}$-conjugacy class of $\epsilon_{D'}(w)$. It is enough to show that $\Phi_D(C), \Phi_{D'DD'^{-1}}(C')$ are contained in the same G-conjugacy class of G. Let $\alpha : G \to G$ be the automorphism $x \mapsto g'xg'^{-1}$ where $x \in D'$ is fixed. We have $\alpha(D) = D'DD'^{-1}$ and $\alpha_*(C) = C'$. Using (a) we have

$$\Phi_{D'DD'^{-1}}(C') = \Phi_{\alpha(D)}(\alpha_*(C)) = \alpha_*(\Phi_D(C)) = x\Phi_D(C)x^{-1}.$$

Thus $\Phi_D(C), \Phi_{D'DD'^{-1}}(C')$ are indeed contained in the same G-conjugacy class of G. This proves (b).

From (b) we see that $Dw \mapsto \gamma_{Dw}$ is constant on the $\bar{G}\mathbf{W}$-conjugacy classes of $\bar{G}\mathbf{W}$ which comprise $c_p(\bar{G}\mathbf{W})$; hence it defines a map $\hat{\Phi} : c_p(\bar{G}\mathbf{W}) \to \underline{G}$ which is surjective since Φ_D is surjective for any $D \in \bar{G}_p$.

References

[Ca] R.W.Carter, *Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group*, Compositio Math. **25** (1972), 1-59.

[DL] P.Deligne and G.Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann.Math. **103** (1976), 103-161.

[GP] M.Geck and G.Pfeiffer, *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, Clarendon Press Oxford, 2000.

[GKP] M.Geck, S.Kim and G.Pfeiffer, *Minimal length elements in twisted conjugacy classes of finite Coxeter groups*, J.Algebra **229** (2000), 570-600.

[H1] X.He, *Minimal length elements in some double cosets of Coxeter groups*, Adv.Math. **215** (2007), 469-503.

[H2] X.He, *On the affineness of the Deligne-Lusztig varieties*, J.Algebra **320** (2008), 1207-1219.

[HL] X.He and G.Lusztig, *A generalization of Steinberg's cross section*, arXiv:1103.1769.

[L1] G.Lusztig, *Representations of finite Chevalley groups*, Regional Conf. Series in Math., vol. 39, Amer.Math.Soc., 1978.

[L2] G.Lusztig, *Characters of reductive groups over a finite field*, Ann.Math. Studies 107, Princeton U.Press, 1984.

G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves IV*, Adv.Math. **59** (1986), 1-63.

[L4] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups I*, Represent.Th. **7** (2003), 374-403.

[L5] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups II*, Represent.Th. **8** (2004), 72-124.

[L6] G.Lusztig, *From conjugacy classes in the Weyl group to unipotent classes*, Represent.Th. **15** (2011), 494-530.

[L7] G.Lusztig, *From conjugacy classes in the Weyl group to unipotent classes, II*, arXiv:1104.0196.

[L8] G.Lusztig, *Elliptic elements in a Weyl group: a homogeneity property*, arXiv:1007.5040.

[L9] G.Lusztig, *On certain varieties attached to a Weyl group element*, arXiv:1012.2074 (to appearBull.Math.Inst. Acad.Sinica).

[LX] G.Lusztig and T.Xue, *Elliptic Weyl group elements and unipotent isometries with* $p = 2$, arXiv:1103.5172.

[M1] G.Malle, *Generalized Deligne-Lusztig characters*, J.Algebra **159** (1993), 64-97.

[M2] G.Malle, *Green functions for groups of type* E_6 *and* F_4 *in characteristic* 2, Commun.Algebra **21** (1993), 747-798.

[M3] G.Malle, *Personal communication, May 2011.*

[Sp] N.Spaltenstein, *Classes unipotentes et sous-groupes de Borel*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 946, Springer Verlag, 1982.

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139